

**presenter:** James Propp

**title:** The Ehrenfeucht-Mycielski sequence

**problem:**

Given a (possibly empty) finite binary sequence  $(a)_1^{n-1} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1})$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), define its “maximal repeated suffix” as the longest terminal sub-word that occurs earlier in the sequence (possibly overlapping the terminal sub-word), i.e., as the longest (possibly empty) terminal sub-word of the form  $(a)_{n-j}^{n-1}$  (with  $j \geq 0$ ) such that  $(a)_{n-j}^{n-1} = (a)_{n-j-i}^{n-1-i}$  for some  $i > 0$ ; define the “predicted value” of  $a_n$  as  $a_{n-i}$ , where  $i$  is as small as possible. That is, one predicts that  $a_n$  will be the bit that occurred immediately after the most recent occurrence of the maximal repeated suffix in  $(a)_1^{n-1}$ .

The Ehrenfeucht-Mycielski sequence is the sequence (grown from the seed 0) whose  $n$ th bit is **never** equal to the predicted value:

$$0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, \dots$$

This appears in the OEIS as sequence A007061 (though in Sloane’s version every term is increased by 1).

Klaus Sutner’s article “The Ehrenfeucht-Mycielski sequence” (available on the web at [www.cs.cmu.edu/~sutner/papers/em-sequence.ps.gz](http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~sutner/papers/em-sequence.ps.gz)) shows abundant evidence of order in this sequence (see Figure 4 for instance), but it remains unproved that the density of 1’s in the sequence is equal to  $1/2$  (or even exists at all). Sutner does show that the upper density of 1’s is at least 0.11.

Empirically, it seems not only that 1’s occur with density  $1/2$ , but every bit-string of length  $k$  occurs with density  $1/2^k$ .

One can create other sequences like the Ehrenfeucht-Mycielski sequence by starting with an arbitrary finite sequence as the initial seed and decreeing that all subsequent terms should differ from their predicted values. I looked at the four sequences grown from the seeds (0,0,0), (0,0,1), (0,1,0), and (0,1,1); the sequences appeared to be pairwise uncorrelated, in the sense that for any pair of them, the number of positions in the first  $n$  terms in which one sees  $a$  in the first sequence and  $b$  in the second (with  $a, b$  in  $\{0, 1\}$ ) is close to  $n/4$ . (I did not use the other four seeds of length three because sequences grown from complementary seeds remain complementary and hence cannot be uncorrelated.)