

SUMMER 2012 MSRI SGW ON MATHEMATICAL GENERAL RELATIVITY
SOME BASIC PROBLEMS ON RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

Here are some basic problems from Riemannian geometry. The problems aren't supposed to be overly challenging, but rather a reader's guide for self-study, if you're trying to learn quickly before the workshop, or trying to brush up. We use the Einstein summation convention throughout—sum over a pair of upper and lower repeated indices. Our convention for the Riemann curvature tensor agrees with that of DoCarmo (opposite sign from that of Lee's book, or Petersen's book):

$$R(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z$$

$$R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right) = R_{ijk}^\ell \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}, \quad R_{ijkl} = g_{m\ell} R_{ijk}^m.$$

From the definition of curvature, we immediately get the vector field version of the Ricci formula: $Z_{;jk}^i - Z_{;kj}^i = Z^\ell R_{jk\ell}^i$. The Ricci tensor in DoCarmo and Lee agree, which means the way they are defined from the Riemann tensor is slightly different to account for sign. In our convention,

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = dx^i(R(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, Y)) = g^{k\ell} g\left(R(X, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, Y), \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}\right)$$

$$R_{ij} = \text{Ric}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = R_{i\ell j}^\ell.$$

1. If (M, g) is a Riemannian metric with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The *Hessian* of u is defined by $\text{Hess}_g u = \nabla(du)$. It is a $(0, 2)$ -tensor. The *Laplacian* is the trace of the Hessian: $\Delta_g u = \text{tr}_g(\text{Hess}_g u)$.

a. Show that $\text{Hess}_g u(X, Y) = Y[X[u]] - \nabla_Y X[u]$, where $X[u] = du(X)$ is the directional derivative of u in the direction X .

b. Show that the Hessian is symmetric.

2. If T is a $(1, 2)$ -tensor field on (M, g) . If the components of T in a coordinate system (x^i) are T_{jk}^i , i.e. $T = T_{jk}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k$, then the components $T_{jk;\ell}^i$ of ∇T satisfy

$$T_{jk;\ell}^i = T_{jk,\ell}^i + \Gamma_{m\ell}^i T_{jk}^m - \Gamma_{j\ell}^m T_{mk}^i - \Gamma_{k\ell}^m T_{jm}^i.$$

3. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow (M, g)$ be a smooth curve, and let $0 \in I$. Let $P_t : T_{\gamma(0)}M \rightarrow T_{\gamma(t)}M$ be the parallel transport operator. If V is a smooth vector field along γ , show that the covariant derivative $\left.\frac{DV}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} P_t^{-1}(V(t))$. (Hint: use an orthonormal parallel frame field $e_1(t), \dots, e_n(t)$ along γ .)

4. In this problem we will be considering connections ∇ on M (i.e. on the tangent bundle of M). They will not necessarily be the Levi-Civita connections coming from metrics.

a. If ∇ and $\widehat{\nabla}$ are two connections on M . Show that $S(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \widehat{\nabla}_X Y$ is tensorial in both X and Y (i.e. it is C^∞ -linear in X and Y).

b. If ∇ is a connection on M , show that $\tau(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$ is tensorial in X and Y . τ is the *torsion tensor*.

S and τ each determine a $(1, 2)$ -tensor, e.g. $(\theta, X, Y) \mapsto \theta(\tau(X, Y))$, where θ is a one-form.

5. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . For any vector field X , ∇X is a $(1, 1)$ tensor: $(\theta, Y) \mapsto \theta(\nabla_Y X)$, whose components are $X^i_{;j}$. The *divergence* of X is the contraction of ∇X ; in coordinates: $\operatorname{div}_g(X) = X^i_{;i}$.

a. If ω is a (local) volume form on M , show that $d(\iota_X \omega) = \operatorname{div}_g(X) \omega$. In local coordinates, $\omega = \pm \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$ is a local volume form, and $\iota_X(\omega) = \omega(X, \dots)$ is an $(n-1)$ -form.

b. Assume M is oriented with global volume form ω . If ∂M is nonempty, give it the induced orientation with induced volume measure σ . If ν is the outward unit normal to the boundary of M , then show $\int_M \operatorname{div}_g X \omega = \int_{\partial M} g(X, \nu) \sigma$. Show that the analogous formula holds in the general case (even if M were not orientable) if the form ω is replaced by the volume measure $d\mu_g$ (and induced measure $d\sigma_g$ on the boundary), where in local coordinates $d\mu_g = \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} dx$ where $dx = dx^1 \cdots dx^n$ is the usual measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

c. Suppose $\Delta_g u = -\lambda u$ for some nontrivial (smooth) function u on a closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Show that $\lambda \geq 0$. In case $\lambda = 0$, what is u ?

6. a. Prove the Ricci formula: if α is a one-form, then $\alpha_{i;jk} - \alpha_{i;kj} = \alpha_\ell R^\ell_{kji}$.

b. Use the Ricci formula to prove the following, for smooth functions u :

$$g(\nabla(\Delta_g u), \nabla u) + |\operatorname{Hess}_g u|^2_g + \operatorname{Ric}_g(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_g (|\nabla u|^2_g).$$

7. Let E_1, \dots, E_n be a local frame field with dual frame $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$. Let ∇ be a connection on M . Since $\nabla_X Y$ is tensorial in X , there is a matrix of one-forms ω_i^j so that $\nabla_X E_i = \omega_i^j(X) E_j$. Furthermore, from the torsion tensor τ above, we construct torsion two-forms τ^j given by $\tau(X, Y) = \tau^j(X, Y) E_j$; clearly τ^j is alternating.

a. Prove Cartan's *first structural equation*: $d\theta^j = \theta^i \wedge \omega_i^j + \tau^j$.

REMARK: It might be useful to recall the following formula for the differential of a one-form α : $d\alpha(X, Y) = X[\alpha(Y)] - Y[\alpha(X)] - \alpha([X, Y])$.

b. Now suppose (M, g) is Riemannian, and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. In particular, $\tau = 0$. Let Ω_i^j be a matrix of two-forms defined by $\Omega_i^j = \frac{1}{2} R_{\ell ki}^j \theta^k \wedge \theta^\ell$. Prove Cartan's *second structural equation* $\Omega_i^j = d\omega_i^j - \omega_i^k \wedge \omega_k^j$.

8. Consider the surface of revolution in Euclidean space given by $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \cosh z$. The surface is an example of a *catenoid*. Compute its Gaussian curvature. Show that the mean curvature is zero (and hence the catenoid is *minimal*).

9. a. Prove that Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^3, g_E) does not admit a closed immersed minimal surface. To do this, show that there must be a point on the surface where the Gaussian curvature is strictly positive.

b. If you followed the hint, you immediately conclude that for any embedding of a two-torus \mathbb{T}^2 into Euclidean \mathbb{R}^3 is not flat. Show that there is an embedding of a flat torus into Euclidean \mathbb{R}^4 .