

EXERCISES 1

Exercise 1.1. Let $X = (|X|, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a scheme and I is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. Show that the ringed space $X[I] := (|X|, \mathcal{O}_X[I])$ is also a scheme.

Exercise 1.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $h : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}^\circ, \underline{\text{Set}})$ the Yoneda embedding. Show that for any arrows $X \rightarrow Y$ and $Z \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} , there is a natural isomorphism $h_{X \times_Z Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} h_X \times_{h_Y} h_Z$.

Exercise 1.3. Let $A \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism. Verify that under the identification of $\text{Der}_A(R, \Omega_{R/A})$ with the sections of the diagonal map $R \otimes_A R/J^2 \rightarrow R$ given in lecture, the universal derivation $d : R \rightarrow \Omega_{R/A}^1$ corresponds to the section given by sending $x \in R$ to $1 \otimes x$.

Exercise 1.4. Let $\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the category of affine schemes. The Yoneda functor h gives rise to a related functor

$$h^{\text{Aff}} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\circ, \underline{\text{Set}})$$

which only considers the functor of points for affine schemes. Is this functor still fully faithful?

Cultural note: since $\text{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}^\circ$ is equivalent to the category of rings (\mathbb{Z} -algebras!), we can also view h as defining a (covariant) functor on the category of rings. When X is an affine scheme of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\{x_i\}]/(\{f_j\})$, the value of h_X^{Aff} on A is just the set of solutions of the f_j with coordinates in A .

Exercise 1.5. Let R be a ring and $H : \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Set}$ a functor which commutes with finite products. Verify the claim in lecture that $H(I)$ has an R -module structure.

Exercise 1.6. Given a category \mathcal{C} and a functor $F : \mathcal{C}^\circ \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$, produce a natural bijection $\text{hom}(h_X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X)$ for every object X of \mathcal{C} .

Exercise 1.7. Let k be a field, X/k a scheme, and $x \in X(k)$ a k -valued point. Let $R = k$ and let $k \rightarrow R$ be the identity morphism. Define

$$F : k\text{-Alg}/k \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

to the functor sending a diagram $k \rightarrow C \rightarrow k$ to the set of dotted arrows over $\text{Spec}(k)$ filling in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(C) \\ \downarrow x & \swarrow \text{---} & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

Show that the hypotheses discussed in lecture for F to have a tangent space hold, and that the resulting k -vector space T_F is canonically isomorphic to the tangent space of X at x .

Suppose now that $x \in X$ is an arbitrary point, with residue field $k(x)$. We can define a similar functor on $k\text{-Alg}/k(x)$ by trying to fill in diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(C) \\ \downarrow x & \swarrow & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

Do we still get the tangent space to X at x ? (What happens when x is a generic point of X ?) How does this construction relate to the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{X/k}^1$?

Exercise 1.8. *A criterion for representability.* (Save this for last. If you find it impenetrable, read it a few times and come back to it tomorrow.)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A *subfunctor* of a functor F is an equivalence class of pairs consisting of a functor G and a natural transformation $G \rightarrow F$ such that for all $T \in \mathcal{C}$, the induced map $G(T) \rightarrow F(T)$ is injective. (The equivalence relation is given by isomorphisms of G and G' which commute with the inclusions in F .) If $G \rightarrow F$ is a subfunctor and $H \rightarrow F$ is arbitrary, check that $G \times_F H \rightarrow H$ is a subfunctor.

Suppose S is a fixed base scheme. Write Sch_S for the category of S -schemes.

Definition 1.9. A subfunctor $G \hookrightarrow F$ on Sch_S is an *open subfunctor* if for every S -scheme X and every map $h_X \rightarrow F$, the induced subfunctor $G \times_F h_X \hookrightarrow h_X$ has the property that $G \times_F h_X$ is representable, say it is isomorphic to h_Y , and the map $h_Y \rightarrow h_X$ arises from an open immersion $Y \hookrightarrow X$.

A collection of open subfunctors $G_i \rightarrow F$ is an *open covering* if for every X and every map $h_X \rightarrow F$ as above, the collection $G_i \times_F h_X \rightarrow h_X$ comes from a collection of open immersions $Y_i \hookrightarrow X$ which form a covering in the Zariski topology.

Definition 1.10. A functor $F : \text{Sch}_S^\circ \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$ is a *Zariski sheaf* (the terminology will make sense tomorrow) if for all S -schemes X , the association $U \mapsto F(U)$ (for open subschemes $U \subset X$) defines sheaf on X in the Zariski topology.

Prove that a functor $F : \text{Sch}_S^\circ \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$ is representable if and only if it is a Zariski sheaf and has an open cover by representable subsheaves.