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1. Introduction

This lecture in honor of Henry McKean forms a step in the direction of understanding the behavior of nonintersecting Brownian motions on $\mathbb{R}$ (Dyson’s Brownian motions), when the number of particles tends to $\infty$. It explains a novel interface between diffusion theory, integrable systems and the theory of orthogonal polynomials. These subjects have been at the center of Henry McKean’s oeuvre. I am delighted to dedicate this paper to Henry, teacher and friend, with admiration for his pioneering work in these fields.

Consider $n$ Brownian particles leaving from points $a_1 < \cdots < a_p$ and forced to end up at $b_1 < \cdots < b_q$ at time $t = 1$. It is clear that, when $n \to \infty$, the equilibrium measure for $t \sim 0$ has its support on $p$ intervals and for $t \sim 1$ on $q$ intervals. It is also clear that, when $t$ evolves, intervals must merge, must disappear and be created, leading to various phase transitions, depending on the respective fraction of particles leaving from the points $a_i$ and arriving at the points $b_j$. Therefore the region $\mathcal{R}$ in the space-time strip $(x,t)$ formed by the support ($\subset \mathbb{R}$) of the equilibrium measure as a function of time $0 \leq t \leq 1$ will typically present singularities of different types.

Near the moments, where a phase transition takes place, one would expect to find in the limit $n \to \infty$ an infinite-dimensional diffusion, a Markov cloud, having some universality properties. Universality here means that the infinite-dimensional diffusion is to depend on the type of singularity only. These Markov clouds are infinite-dimensional diffusions, which ‘in principle’ could be described by an infinite-dimensional Laplacian with a drift term. We conjecture that each of the Markov clouds obtained in this fashion is related to some integrable system, which enables one to derive a nonlinear (finite-dimensional) PDE, satisfied by the joint probabilities. The purpose of this lecture is to show the intimate relationship between these subjects: nonintersecting Brownian motions and integrable systems, via the theory of orthogonal polynomials. Special cases have also shown an intimate connection between the integrable system and the Riemann-Hilbert problem associated with the singularity. These ideas will then be applied to a simple model, where we show that the transition probabilities for the infinite-dimensional Brownian motions near a cusp satisfy a nonlinear PDE. The interrelations between all such equations, “initial” and “final” ($t \to \pm \infty$) conditions, are interesting and challenging open problems. Universality in this context is a largely open field. For references, see later.
2. Biorthogonal polynomials and the 2-component KP hierarchy

Consider the inner product for the weight \( \rho(x, y) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \),

\[
(f | g) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)g(y)\rho(x, y)dx\,dy.
\]

and an inner product for this weight, augmented with an extra-exponential factor, depending on “time” parameters \( t := (t_1, t_2, \ldots) \) and \( s := (s_1, s_2, \ldots) \),

\[
(f | g)_{t,s} := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)g(y)\rho(x, y)e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(t_i y^i - s_i x^i)}dx\,dy.
\]

Construct monic biorthogonal polynomials \( p^{(1)}_m(y) \) and \( p^{(2)}_n(x) \) (also depending on the parameters \( t \) and \( s \) ) with regard to this deformed weight,

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ p^{(2)}_n(x)e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}s_i x^i} \bigg| p^{(1)}_m(y)e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i y^i} \right\} &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} p^{(2)}_n(x)p^{(1)}_m(y)\rho(x, y)e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(t_i y^i - s_i x^i)}dx\,dy \\
&= \delta_{nm}h_n,
\end{align*}
\]

and let \( \tau_n \) be the determinant of the moment matrix

\[
\tau_n(t, s) := \det\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
x^k e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}s_i x^i} & y^\ell e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i y^i} \\
\hline
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)_{0 \leq k, \ell \leq n-1}.
\]

The following theorem and its corollary, due to Adler and van Moerbeke [1997; 1999b] and inspired by Sato’s theory, establishes a link between the functions \( \tau_n \) and the biorthogonal polynomials:

**Theorem 2.1.** Given these data, the determinant \( \tau_n(t, s) \) and the biorthogonal polynomials are related by the following relations, where we have set \([\alpha] := (\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2, \frac{1}{3}\alpha^3, \ldots)\) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
&z_n \frac{\tau_n(t - [z^{-1}], s)}{\tau_n(t, s)} = p^{(1)}_n(z), \\
&z_n \frac{\tau_n(t, s + [z^{-1}])}{\tau_n(t, s)} = p^{(2)}_n(z), \\
&z^{-n-1} \tau_{n+1}(t + [z^{-1}], s) \frac{\tau_n(t, s)}{\tau_n(t, s)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} p^{(2)}_n(x)\rho(x, y)dx\,dy, \\
&z^{-n-1} \tau_{n+1}(t, s - [z^{-1}]) \frac{\tau_n(t, s)}{\tau_n(t, s)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} p^{(1)}_n(y)\rho(x, y)dx\,dy.
\end{align*}
\]
with the \( \tau_n(t, s) \) satisfying bilinear equations, for all integers \( n, m \geq 0 \) and all \( t, t', s, s' \in \mathbb{C}_\infty \):

\[
\oint_{z = \infty} \tau_{n-1}(t - [z^{-1}], s) \tau_{m+1}(t' + [z^{-1}], s') e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (t_i - t'_i) z^i} z^{n-m-2} dz
\]

\[
= \oint_{z = \infty} \tau_n(t, s - [z^{-1}]) \tau_m(t', s' + [z^{-1}]) e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (s_i - s'_i) z^i} z^{m-n} dz.
\]

**Two-component KP hierarchy.** Define the *Hirota symbol* between functions \( f = f(t_1, t_2, \ldots) \) and \( g = g(t_1, t_2, \ldots) \) by

\[
p\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \ldots \right) f \circ g := p\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \ldots \right) f(t + y)g(t - y) \bigg|_{y=0}.
\]

The elementary Schur polynomials \( S_\ell \) are defined by \( e^{\sum_{i=0}^\infty t_i z^i} := \sum_{\ell \geq 0} S_\ell(t) z^\ell \) for \( \ell \geq 0 \) and \( S_\ell(t) = 0 \) for \( \ell < 0 \); moreover, set for later use

\[
S_\ell(\partial_t) := S_\ell\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}, \ldots \right).
\]

Finally, recall that the Wronskian \( \{f, g\}_x \) of \( f \) and \( g \) is given by

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} g(x) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} f(x).
\]

**Corollary.** *From Theorem 2.1, one deduces the equations*

\[
S_j\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \ldots \right) \tau_{n+1} \circ \tau_{n-1} = -\tau_n^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_1 \partial t_j + 1} \log \tau_n,
\]

\[
S_j\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2}, \ldots \right) \tau_{n-1} \circ \tau_{n+1} = -\tau_n^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_j + 1} \log \tau_n.
\]

and finally a single partial differential equation for \( \tau_n \) in terms of Wronskians,

\[
\left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_n}{\partial t_1 \partial s_2}, \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_n}{\partial t_1 \partial s_1} \right\}_{t_1} + \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_n}{\partial s_1 \partial t_2}, \frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_n}{\partial s_1 \partial s_1} \right\}_{s_1} = 0.
\]

**Sketch of proof of Theorem 2.1 and its corollary.** The following double integral can be expanded in two different ways with regard to the parameters \( a := (a_1, a_2, \ldots) \):
\[ \tau_n(t,s)\tau_{n+1}(t',s') \]
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx \, dy \, p_{n+1}^{(2)}(t', s'; x) p_n^{(1)}(t, s; y) e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i y^i - s'_i x^i)} \rho(x, y) \bigg|_{t' \to t-a \atop s' \to t+a} \]
\[ = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} -2a_{j+1} S_j \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}, \ldots \right) \tau_{n+2} \circ \tau_n + O(a^2) \right) \]
\[ = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2a_{j+1} \tau_{n+1}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_1 \partial t_{j+1}} \log \tau_{n+1} + O(a^2) \right), \tag{2-4} \]

using the fact that the space \( \mathcal{H} := \text{span}\{z^i, \, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) can be equipped with two (formal) inner products:

(i) \( \langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) g(z) \, dz \).

(ii) a residue pairing about \( z = \infty \) between \( f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i z^i \in \mathcal{H}^+ \) and \( h = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_j z^{-j-1} \in \mathcal{H}^c \):

\[ \langle f, h \rangle_\infty = \int_{z=\infty} f(z) h(z) \frac{dz}{2\pi i} = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i b_i. \]

The two inner products are related by

\[ \langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) g(z) \, dz = \left( f, \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(u)}{z-u} \, du \right)_\infty. \]

Then the two expansions (2-4) are obtained, using the \( \tau \)-function representation (2-1) of the biorthogonal polynomials, transforming the double integral (2-4) into a contour integral about \( \infty \) and finally computing the residues. Upon equating the two series in (2-4) for arbitrary \( a_j \), one finds the first identity (2-2). Application of a similar shift \( s' \mapsto s-a, \, s'' \mapsto s+a, \, t' = t \) yields the second identity (2-2). Then combining the identities (2-2) for \( j = 0 \) and 1 leads to the PDE (2-3).

\[ \square \]

3. Orthogonal polynomials with regard to several weights and the \( n \)-component KP hierarchy

Now considering two sets of weights, \( \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_q \) and \( \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_p \), and deform each weight with its own set of times:

\[ \psi_k^{s}(x) := \psi_k(x) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{ki} x^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_k^{y}(y) := \varphi_k(y) e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ki} y^i}. \]
the time parameters being

\[ s_k = (s_{k1}, s_{k2}, \ldots) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq q \quad \text{and} \quad t_k = (t_{k1}, t_{k2}, \ldots) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq p. \]

Take a moment matrix consisting of \( p \times q \) blocks of sizes \( m_i \times n_j \), formed of moments with regard to all the different combinations of \( \psi_i \) and \( \phi_j \)'s; of course for the full matrix to be a square matrix, the integers \( m_1, m_2, \ldots \geq 0 \) and \( n_1, n_2, \ldots \geq 0 \) must satisfy \( \sum_q m_i = \sum_p n_i \). Define the determinant \( \tau_{mn} \) of these moment matrices (the inner product is the same as in Section 2):

\[
\tau_{m_1, \ldots, m_q; n_1, \ldots, n_p} (s_1, \ldots, s_q; t_1, \ldots, t_p) :=
\begin{vmatrix}
\left( \langle x^k \psi_1^{-s_1}(x) | y^\ell \varphi_1^t(y) \rangle \right)_{0 \leq k < m_1} & \ldots & \left( \langle x^k \psi_1^{-s_1}(x) | y^\ell \varphi_p^t(y) \rangle \right)_{0 \leq k < m_1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left( \langle x^k \psi_q^{-s_q}(x) | y^\ell \varphi_1^t(y) \rangle \right)_{0 \leq k < m_q} & \ldots & \left( \langle x^k \psi_q^{-s_q}(x) | y^\ell \varphi_p^t(y) \rangle \right)_{0 \leq k < m_q}
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

(3-1)

Notice that Section 1 is a special case of this situation, where \( p = q = 1 \). In this general setup, the analogue of Theorem 2.1 is the following statement, due to [Adler et al. 2006]. (The precise signs \(+, -\), which we omit here, can be found in that reference. The symbol \( e_\alpha \) stands for 0, \( \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots \), with 1 at the \( \alpha \)-th place. The meaning of \( \tau_{mn}(t_\ell - [z^{-1}]) \) is that only the \( t_\ell \) variable gets shifted and no other, i.e., reference to the unshifted variables is omitted.)

I. The expressions

\[
z_{n_\ell} \tau_{mn}(t_\ell - [z^{-1}]) := Q^{(\ell)}_{mn}(z) = z^{n_\ell} + \ldots,
\]

\[
z_{n_\alpha - 1} \tau_{m, n + e_\ell - e_\alpha}(\alpha - [z^{-1}]) = Q^{(\ell \alpha)}_{mn}(z) = c_\alpha z^{n_\alpha - 1} + \ldots \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \ell
\]

are polynomials (involving \( \sum_1^p n_\alpha \) coefficients), satisfying \( \sum_1^q m_\alpha \) orthogonality conditions

\[
\left( x^j \psi_\alpha^{-s}(x) \left| \sum_{i=1}^p Q^{(\ell i)}_{mn}(y) \varphi_i(y) \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } \begin{cases} 1 \leq \alpha \leq q, \\
0 \leq j \leq m_\alpha - 1. \end{cases} \right.
\]

II. Similarly, the expressions

\[
\pm z_{m_\alpha - 1} \tau_{m - e_\alpha, n - e_\ell}(s_\alpha + [z^{-1}]) = p^{(\ell \alpha)}_{mn}(z) \quad \text{of degree } < m_\alpha
\]
are polynomials (involving $\sum_1^q m_\alpha$ coefficients), satisfying $\sum_1^p n_\alpha$ orthogonality conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q P_{nm}^{(i)}(x)\psi_i^{-s}(x) \right\} y^j \varphi_\alpha'(y) &= 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \alpha \leq p, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n_\alpha - 1, \\
\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q P_{nm}^{(i)}(x)\psi_i^{-s}(x) \right\} y^{n_\alpha - 1} \varphi_\alpha'(y) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

III. The Cauchy transforms of the polynomials in II are

\[
\left( z^{-n} e^{\ell \alpha} \phi_{mn}(\ell + [z^{-1}]) \right) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q P_{nm}^{(i)}(x)\psi_i^{-s}(x) \right\} \varphi_\alpha'(y) / (z - y).
\]

IV. The Cauchy transforms of the polynomials in I are

\[
\left( z^{-m} e^{\alpha} \phi_{mn}(\alpha + [z^{-1}]) \right) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q P_{nm}^{(i)}(x)\psi_i^{-s}(x) \right\} \varphi_\alpha'(y) / (z - y).
\]

The orthogonality conditions for these polynomials lead to the following statement:

**Proposition 3.1.** The determinants $\tau_{mn}$ defined in (3-1) satisfy the $(p+q)$-KP hierarchy; that is,

\[
\sum_{\beta=1}^p \oint_\infty \tau_{m,n-\beta}^{(\ell \beta - [z^{-1}])} \tau_{m,n'+\beta}^{(\ell \beta + [z^{-1}])} e^{\sum_{i=1}^q (\ell_i - \ell'_{\beta i})} z_i^{n-\beta - n'_{\beta i} - 2} dz =
\]

\[
\pm \sum_{\alpha=1}^q \oint_\infty \tau_{m+e_{\alpha},n}^{(\alpha - [z^{-1}])} \tau_{m'+e_{\alpha}',n'}^{(\alpha' + [z^{-1}])} e^{\sum_{i=1}^q (s_{\alpha i} - s'_{\alpha i})} z_i^{m_{\alpha} - m_{\alpha'} - 2} dz,
\]

where $\sum m'_{\alpha} = n'_{\alpha} + 1$ and $\sum m_{\alpha} = n_{\alpha} + 1$.

These polynomials happen to be the so-called multiple orthogonal polynomials of mixed type, introduced in [Daems and Kuijlaars 2007] in the context of non-intersecting Brownian motions; they generalize multiple orthogonal polynomials, introduced in [Aptekarev 1998; Aptekarev et al. 2003; Adler and van Moerbeke 1999a]. This will now be used in the next section.
4. Nonintersecting Brownian motions

If the transition density for standard Brownian motion $x(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, leaving from $x$ and arriving at $y$, is given by

$$p(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-(x-y)^2/2t},$$

then the probability that $N$ nonintersecting Brownian motions $x_1(t), \ldots, x_N(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, leaving at $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N)$ and arriving at $\beta := (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N)$, belong to $E$ at time $t$, is given by the Karlin–McGregor formula [1959]:

$$\int_{E} \det [p(t, \alpha_i, x_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \det [p(1-t, x_i, \beta_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} dx_i,$$

Considering the particular case where several points coincide, i.e., where

$$\alpha := a = (a_1, a_1, \ldots, a_1, a_2, a_2, \ldots, a_q, a_q, \ldots, a_q) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$\beta := b = (b_1, b_1, \ldots, b_1, b_2, b_2, \ldots, b_p, b_p, \ldots, b_p) \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

one verifies that the probability below can be expressed as a determinant of a moment matrix of the form (3-1) with $p \times q$ blocks,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\text{all } x_i(t) \in E \\
(x_1(0), \ldots, x_N(0)) = \alpha \\
(x_1(1), \ldots, x_N(1)) = \beta
\end{array} \right) (0 < t < 1)$$

$$= \lim_{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \to a} \lim_{(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N) \to b} \frac{1}{Z_N} \int_{E} \det [p(t, \alpha_i, x_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \det [p(1-t, x_i, \beta_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} dx_i$$

$$= \frac{N!}{Z_N} \det \left( \left( \int_{E} dy \, e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^2} \sum_{0 \leq i < m_a, 0 \leq j < n_x} e^{(\tilde{a}_{i}+\tilde{b}_{j})y} y^i j^j \sum_{0 \leq i < m_a, 0 \leq j < n_x} e^{(\tilde{a}_{i}+\tilde{b}_{j})y} y^i j^j \right) 1 \leq a \leq q \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq p \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{E} = E \sqrt{\frac{2}{t(1-t)}}, \quad \tilde{a}_i = \sqrt{\frac{2(1-t)}{t}} a_i, \quad \tilde{b}_i = \sqrt{\frac{2t}{1-t}} b_i.$$

PROOF. It is based on the matrix identity

$$\det (A_{ik})_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} \det (B_{ik})_{1 \leq i, k \leq n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \det (A_{i, \sigma(j)} B_{j, \sigma(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$
Upon adding extra-time parameters 

\[ t_\beta = (t_{\beta,1}, t_{\beta,2}, \ldots) \quad \text{and} \quad s_\alpha = (s_{\alpha,1}, s_{\alpha,2}, \ldots) \]

to

\[
\det \left( \left( \int_E dy \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} y^i + y^j e^{(a_\alpha + b_\beta)} y \right) \right)_{\substack{0 \leq i < m_\alpha \\ 0 \leq j < n_\beta}}^{1 \leq \alpha \leq q \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq p}.
\]

it follows automatically from Section 3 that the expression

\[
\tau_{m_1, \ldots, m_q; n_1, \ldots, n_p}(t_1, \ldots, t_p; s_1, \ldots, s_q)
\]

\[
= \det \left( \left( \int_E dy \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} y^i + y^j e^{(a_\alpha + b_\beta)} y + \sum_{k=1}^p (t_{\beta,k} - s_{\alpha,k}) y^k \right) \right)_{\substack{0 \leq i < m_\alpha \\ 0 \leq j < n_\beta}}^{1 \leq \alpha \leq q \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq p}
\]

satisfies the \( p + q \)-KP hierarchy, where \( p \) denotes the number of starting points and \( q \) the number of end points of the Brownian motions; see (4-1). Nonintersecting Brownian motions have been studied in [Karlin and McGregor 1959; Dyson 1962; Grabiner 1999; Johansson 2001; Bleher and Kuijlaars 2004b; 2004a; Daems and Kuijlaars 2007; Tracy and Widom 2004; 2006; Adler and van Moerbeke 2005; 2006].

In the next section, I work out the example where the Brownian motions all depart from 0 and end up at the points \(-a\) and \(a\).

5. Nonintersecting Brownian motions leaving from the origin and forced to end up at two points

Consider \( n = n_1 + n_2 \) nonintersecting Brownian motions on \( \mathbb{R} \), all leaving from the origin, with \( n_1 \) paths forced to go to \(-a\) and \( n_2 \) paths forced to go to \(a\), at time \( t = 1\). The probability that all the particles belong to the set \( E \) at time \( 0 < t < 1 \) can be expressed as a Gaussian Hermitian random matrix “with external potential”, specified by the diagonal matrix

\[
A := \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & \cdots & & O \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\alpha & \cdots & -\alpha \ & \\
O & \cdots & & -\alpha \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[ \updownarrow n_1 \]

\[ \updownarrow n_2 \]

with \( \alpha = a \sqrt{\frac{2t}{1-t}} \),

but also as a determinant of a moment matrix, a consequence of Section 4. This
gives (with \( n = n_1 + n_2 \)),

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}_{0}^{\pm a} \left( \begin{array}{l}
\text{all } x_i(t) \in E \\
\text{all } x_j(0) = 0,
\end{array} \right) \\
\begin{array}{l}
n_1 \text{ left paths end up at } -a \text{ at time } t = 1, \\
n_2 \text{ right paths end up at } +a \text{ at time } t = 1
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
= \mathbb{P}_n \left( a \sqrt{\frac{2t}{1-t}}; E \sqrt{\frac{2}{t(1-t)}} \right). \tag{5-1}
\]

with \( \mathbb{P}_n \) being an integral over the space \( \mathcal{H}_n(E^r) \) of Hermitian matrices with spectrum belonging to the set \( E^r \subseteq \mathbb{R} \):

\[
\mathbb{P}_n(\alpha; E^r) := \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{\mathcal{H}_n(E^r)} dM \ e^{-\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2}M^2 - AM)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{Z_n} \det \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\int_{E^r} z^i & \int_{E^r} z^i e^{-z^2/2+\alpha z} & 0 \\
1 \leq i \leq n_1, & 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + n_2 \\
\int_{E^r} z^j e^{-z^2/2-\alpha z} & 0 & 1 \leq j \leq n_2, 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + n_2
\end{array} \right). \tag{5-2}
\]

**Theorem 5.1** [Adler and van Moerbeke 2007]. The log of the probability \( \mathbb{P}_n(\alpha; E) \) satisfies a fourth-order PDE in \( \alpha \) and in the boundary points \( b_1, \ldots, b_{2r} \) of the set \( E \), with quartic nonlinearity:

\[
\det \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
F^+ & F^- & 0 \\
\mathcal{B}_{-1} F^+ & \mathcal{B}_{-1} F^- & F^- G^+ + F^+ G^- \\
\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 F^+ & \mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 F^- & F^- \mathcal{B}_{-1} G^+ + F^+ \mathcal{B}_{-1} G^-
\end{array} \right) = 0, \tag{5-3}
\]

where \( \mathcal{B}_k := \sum_{i=1}^{2r} b_i^{k+1} \partial^k / \partial b_i \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
F^+ &:= 2\mathcal{B}_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \mathcal{B}_{-1} \right) \log \mathbb{P}_n - 4n_1, & F^- &:= F^+ \big|_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \atop n_1 \leftrightarrow n_2} \\
2G^+ &:= \{H_1^+, F^+\}_{\partial / \partial \alpha}, & G^- &:= G^+ \big|_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \atop n_1 \leftrightarrow n_2},
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
H_1^+ := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \mathcal{B}_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \alpha \mathcal{B}_{-1} \right) \log \mathbb{P}_n + \left( \mathcal{B}_0 + 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \log \mathbb{P}_n + 4n_1 \left( \alpha + \frac{n_2}{\alpha} \right),
\]

\[
H_2^+ := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \mathcal{B}_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \alpha \mathcal{B}_{-1} \right) \log \mathbb{P}_n - \left( \mathcal{B}_0 - 2\alpha \mathcal{B}_{-1} - 2 \right) \mathcal{B}_{-1} \log \mathbb{P}_n.
\]

**Sketch of proof.** In view of the results in Section 3, we add extra parameters \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, s_1, s_2, \ldots \) and \( \beta \) to the integrals in the moment matrix above (5-2). In terms of the Vandermonde determinants \( \Delta_k(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_i - x_j) \) for the
variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_{n_1} \) and \( \Delta_n(x, y) \) for all variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n_2} \), we obtain from the results in Section 4 that (again with \( n = n_1 + n_2 \)) the function

\[
\tau_{n_1n_2}(t, s; u; \alpha, \beta; E) := \det \left( \begin{array}{c} \mu_{ij}^+(t, s; \alpha, \beta, E) \\ \mu_{ij}^-(t, u; \alpha, \beta, E) \end{array} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_1 + n_2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n_1! n_2!} \int_{E^n} \Delta_n(x, y) \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i x_i^j} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i y_i^j}
\]

\[
\times \left( \Delta_n(x) \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} e^{-x_j^2/2+\alpha x_j+\beta y_j^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^\infty s_i x_i^j} d x_j \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \Delta_n(y) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{-y_j^2/2-\alpha y_j-\beta y_j^2} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i y_i^j} d y_j \right)
\]

(5-4)

satisfies the 3-component KP equation, since \( p+q = 2+1 = 3 \), since this matrix corresponds to \( p = 2, q = 1 \). The function \( \tau_{n_1n_2}(t, s; u; \alpha, \beta; E) \) also satisfies Virasoro constraints, to be explained below.

(i) The three-component KP bilinear equations of Proposition 3.1 imply, using a standard residue computation on the bilinear equation (equations of the type (2-2) for \( j = 0 \) and \( j = 1 \), except that the three-component KP bilinear equations give rise to \( \tau \)-functions depending on two integer indices)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \log \tau_{n_1n_2}}{\partial t_1 \partial s_1} = -\frac{\tau_{n_1+1,n_2} \tau_{n_1-1,n_2}}{\tau_{n_1,n_2}^2}
\]

(5-5)

and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \log \tau_{n_1+1,n_2} = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} \right) \log \tau_{n_1,n_2}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \log \tau_{n_1,n_2} \right)
\]

(5-6)

(ii) The Virasoro equations are as follows: The integral \( \tau_{n_1n_2}(t, s; u; \alpha, \beta; E) \), as defined in (5-4), satisfies

\[
\mathcal{B}_m \tau_{n_1n_2} = \mathbb{V}^m_{n_1n_2} \tau_{n_1n_2} \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq -1,
\]

(5-8)

where \( \mathcal{B}_m \) and \( \mathbb{V}_m \) are differential operators:

\[
\mathcal{B}_m = \sum_{i=1}^{2r} b_i^{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}, \quad \text{for} \quad E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2r} [b_{2i-1}, b_{2i}] \subset \mathbb{R}
\]
and (with the convention that \( t_i \) is omitted whenever it appears for \( i = 0, -1, \ldots \))

\[
\mathcal{V}^m_{n_1 n_2} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=m} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i \partial t_j} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \right) \\
+ \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i+m}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i+m}} + i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i+m}} \right) \\
+ (n_1 + n_2) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_m} + (-m)t_m - n_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s_m} - (-m)s_m \right) \\
- n_2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_m} + (-m)u_m \right) + (n_1^2 + n_1 n_2 + n_2^2) \delta_{m0} \\
+ \alpha(n_1 - n_2) \delta_{m+1,0} + \frac{m(m+1)}{2} (t_m + s_m + u_m) \\
- \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m+2}} + \alpha \left( - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{m+1}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{m+1}} + (m + 1)(s_{m+1} - u_{m+1}) \right) \\
+ 2\beta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{m+2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{m+2}} \right).
\]

These Virasoro equations are obtained by setting

\[
x_i \mapsto x_i + \epsilon x_i^{m+1}, \\
y_i \mapsto y_i + \epsilon y_i^{m+1}
\]

in the integral (5-4) and observing that this substitution does not change the value of the integral, provided the boundary is changed infinitesimally as well.

The Virasoro constraints (5-8) above for \( m = -1 \) and \( m = 0 \) lead to the following equations for \( f = \log \tau_{n_1 n_2}(t, s, u; \alpha, \beta; E) \) along the locus \( \mathcal{L} \) of points where \( t = s = u = 0, \beta = 0 \):

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_1} = -B_{-1} f + \alpha(n_1 - n_2), \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial s_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( B_{-1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) f + \frac{\alpha}{2} (n_2 - n_1), \\
2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_1 \partial s_1} = B_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - B_{-1} \right) f - 2n_1, \\
2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_1 \partial s_2} = \left( \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} - B_0 + 1 \right) B_{-1} f - 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} - 2\alpha(n_1 - n_2), \\
2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (B_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \alpha B_{-1}) f - B_{-1} (B_0 - 1) f - 2\alpha(n_1 - n_2). \quad (5-9)
\]
From the differential equations (5-6)–(5-7) and from the two first two Virasoro equations (5-9) it follows that, along the locus $\mathcal{L}$, and for the indices $n_1 \pm 1, n_2$,

$$
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \log \tau_{n_1+1, n_2} = -B_{-1} \log \tau_{n_1+1, n_2} + 2 \alpha,
$$

$$
- \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_2} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \log \tau_{n_1+1, n_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( B_{-1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \log \tau_{n_1+1, n_2} - \alpha.
$$

From these two equations, the logarithmic expression on the right can be eliminated, by acting on the first equation with the operator $\frac{1}{2} \left( B_{-1} - (\partial / \partial \alpha) \right)$ and on the second with $-B_{-1}$ and subtracting, thus yielding

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left( B_{-1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} - 2 \alpha \right) = B_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_2} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} - \alpha \right)
$$
or, equivalently,

$$
B_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_2} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_1} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \right) = 0. \quad (5-10)
$$

Using the remaining Virasoro relations (5-9), one obtains along $\mathcal{L}$ the equalities

$$
4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_1} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} = F^+, \quad 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} - 2 \alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_1} \right) \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} = H_2^+,
$$

$$
2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2 \partial s_1} - 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial s_2} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} = H_1^+ - 2B_{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2}
$$

where we have set\(^1\)

$$
F^+ := 2B_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - B_{-1} \right) \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} - 4n_1 = 2B_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - B_{-1} \right) \log \tilde{p}_n - 4n_1,
$$

\(^1\)One checks that $\tau_{n_1 n_2} (t, s; u; \alpha, \beta, \mathfrak{B})|_{\mathcal{L}} = (-2)^n n_1 s (2\pi)^{n_1 n_2} \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \alpha_j^{n_1 n_2} e^{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \alpha}.\)
which can be rewritten as
\[
H^+_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( B_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \alpha B_{-1} \right) \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} + \left( B_0 B_{-1} + 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} + 2 \alpha (n_1 - n_2) \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( B_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} - \alpha B_{-1} \right) \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} + \left( B_0 B_{-1} + 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \right) \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} + 2 \alpha (n_1 + n_2)
\]

Further define
\[
F^- = F^+ \bigg|_{\alpha \to -\alpha}, \quad H_i^- = H_i^+ \bigg|_{n_1 \leftrightarrow n_2}.
\]

With this notation, equation 5-10 becomes
\[
\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \bigg|_{\mathcal{L}}, F^+ \right\}_{B_{-1}} = \left\{ H_1^+, \frac{1}{2} F^+ \right\}_{B_{-1}}, \quad \left\{ H_2^+, \frac{1}{2} F^+ \right\}_{B_{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} =: G^+,
\]
yielding automatically a second equation, using the involution \( \alpha \mapsto -\alpha, \beta \mapsto -\beta, n_1 \leftrightarrow n_2 \) (which leaves (5-4) unchanged):
\[
\left\{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \bigg|_{\mathcal{L}}, F^- \right\}_{B_{-1}} = \left\{ H_1^-, \frac{1}{2} F^- \right\}_{B_{-1}}, \quad \left\{ H_2^-, \frac{1}{2} F^- \right\}_{B_{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} =: G^-.
\]

The last two displays yield a linear system of equations in
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \bigg|_{\mathcal{L}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \bigg|_{\mathcal{L}}
\]
from which
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \bigg|_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{G^- F^+ + G^+ F^-}{-F^- (B_{-1} F^+) + F^+ (B_{-1} F^-)},
\]
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \tau_{n_1 n_2} \bigg|_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{G^- (B_{-1} F^+) + G^+ (B_{-1} F^-)}{-F^- (B_{-1} F^+) + F^+ (B_{-1} F^-)}.
\]

Subtracting the second equation from \( B_{-1} \) of the first equation yields the differential equation
\[
(F^+ B_{-1} G^- + F^- B_{-1} G^+) (F^+ B_{-1} F^- - F^- B_{-1} F^+) \\
- (F^+ G^- + F^- G^+)\left(F^+ B_{-1} F^- - F^- B_{-1} F^+\right) = 0, \quad (5-11)
\]
which can be rewritten as
\[
F^+ F^- \det \left( \begin{array}{ll}
B_{-1} F^+ & B_{-1} F^- \\
B_{-1} F^+ & B_{-1} F^- \\
B_{-1} F^- & B_{-1} F^+ \\
B_{-1} F^- & B_{-1} F^+
\end{array} \right) = 0, \quad (5-12)
\]
establishing (5-3) for \( \log P_n \).
6. The Pearcey process

As in section 5, consider \( n = 2k \) nonintersecting Brownian motions on \( \mathbb{R} \) (Dyson’s Brownian motions), all starting at the origin, such that the \( k \) left paths end up at \(-a\) and the \( k \) right paths end up at \( +a \) at time \( t = 1 \).

Also as observed in section 5, the transition probability can be expressed in terms of the Gaussian Hermitian random matrix probability \( \mathbb{P}_n(\alpha; E) \) with external source, for which the PDE (5-3) was deduced.

Let now the number \( n = 2k \) of particles go to infinity, and let the points \( a \) and \(-a\), properly rescaled, go to \( \pm \infty \). This forces the left \( k \) particles to \(-\infty\) at \( t = 1 \) and the right \( k \) particles to \( +\infty \) at \( t = 1 \). Since the particles all leave from the origin at \( t = 0 \), it is natural to believe that for small times the equilibrium measure (mean density of particles) is supported by one interval, and for times close to \( 1 \), the equilibrium measure is supported by two intervals. With a precise scaling, \( t = 1/2 \) is critical in the sense that for \( t < 1/2 \), the equilibrium measure for the particles is supported by one, and for \( t > 1/2 \), it is supported by two intervals. The Pearcey process \( \mathbb{P}(t) \) is now defined [Tracy and Widom 2006] as the motion of an infinite number of nonintersecting Brownian paths, just around time \( t = 1/2 \) near \( x = 0 \), with the precise scaling (upon introducing the scaling parameter \( z \)):

\[
 n = 2k = \frac{2}{z^4}, \quad \pm a = \pm \frac{1}{z^2}, \quad x_i \mapsto x_i z, \quad t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + tz^2, \quad \text{for } z \to 0. \quad (6-1)
\]

The Pearcey process has also arisen in the context of various growth models [Okounkov and Reshitikhin 2005]. Even though the pathwise interpretation of \( \mathbb{P}(t) \) is unclear and deserves investigation, it is natural to define the following probability for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), in terms of the probability (5-1),

\[
 \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(t) \cap E = \emptyset) := \lim_{z \to 0} \mathbb{P}_{0}^{\pm 1/z^2} \left( \text{all } x_j \left( \frac{1}{2} + tz^2 \right) \notin zE; \ 1 \leq j \leq n \right) \bigg|_{n = 2/z^4}.
\]

The results of Brézin and Hijami [1996; 1997; 1998b; 1998a] for the Pearcey kernel and Tracy and Widom [2006] for the extended kernels show that this limit exists and equals a Fredholm determinant:

\[
 \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(t) \cap E = \emptyset) = \det \left( I - K_t \mathcal{K}_E \right),
\]

where \( K_t(x, y) \) is the Pearcey kernel, defined as follows:

\[
 K_t(x, y) := \frac{p(x)q''(y) - p'(x)q'(y) + p''(x)q(y) - tp(x)q(y)}{x - y} = \int_0^\infty p(x + z)q(y + z) \, dz, \quad (6-2)
\]
where (note that $\omega = e^{i\pi/4}$)

\[
p(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^4/4-\left(tu^2/2-ix\right)} du,
\]

\[
q(y) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{X} e^{u^4/4-\left(tu^2/2+uy\right)} du
\]

\[
= \text{Im}\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} du \ e^{-u^4/4-\left(it/2\right)u^2\left(e^{iuy} - e^{-iuy}\right)}\right)
\]
satisfy the differential equations

\[
p''' - tp' - xp = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q''' - tq' + yq = 0.
\]

The contour $X$ is given by the ingoing rays from $\pm \infty e^{i\pi/4}$ to 0 and the outgoing rays from 0 to $\pm \infty e^{-i\pi/4}$, i.e., $X$ stands for the contour

For compact $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}[x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}] \subset \mathbb{R}$, define the gradient and the Euler operator with regard to the boundary points of $E$,

\[
\mathcal{B}_{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \mathcal{B}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2r} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.
\]

**Theorem 6.1** [Adler and van Moerbeke 2007].

\[
Q(t; x_1, \ldots, x_{2r}) := \log \mathbb{P}(t) \cap E = \emptyset = \log \det (I - K_t X E) \quad (6-4)
\]
satisfies a fourth-order, third-degree PDE, which can be written as a single Wronskian:

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 Q}{\partial t^3} + (\mathcal{B}_0 - 2)\mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 Q + \frac{1}{16} \left[ \mathcal{B}_{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}, \mathcal{B}_{-1}^2 Q \right]_{\mathcal{B}_{-1}} - \mathcal{B}_{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \right\}_{\mathcal{B}_{-1}} = 0.
\]

**Remark.** A similar PDE can be written for the transition probability involving several times; see [Adler and van Moerbeke 2006]. Such equations can be used to compute the asymptotic behavior of the Pearcey process for $t \to -\infty$.

**Sketch of Proof.** Consider the function $Q_z(s; x_1, \ldots, x_{2r})$, defined in terms of the probabilities $\mathbb{P}^{a \pm a}_{0}$, defined in (5-1) and $\mathbb{P}_n$, defined in (5-2), as follows:

\[
Q_z(s; x_1, \ldots, x_{2r}) := \log \mathbb{P}^{a \pm a}_{0}(t; b_1, \ldots, b_{2r}) \bigg|_{n=2/z^4, a=1/z^2, b_i=x_i, t=1/z^2 + s/z^2}
\]
\[
= \log \mathbb{P}_n \left( \frac{2t}{1-t} ; b_1 \frac{2}{i(1-t)} , \ldots , b_{2r} \frac{2}{i(1-t)} \right)_{n=2/z^4 , a=1/z^2 , b_i=x_i z , i=\frac{1}{2} + sz^2} \\
= \log \mathbb{P}_{2/z^4} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{2} + sz^2}{\frac{1}{2} - sz^2} ; x_1 z \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^2 z^4}} , \ldots , x_{2r} z \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^2 z^4}} } \right),
\]
from which it follows, by inversion, that
\[
Q_z \left( \frac{u^2 z^4 - 2}{2z^2 (u^2 z^4 + 2)} ; \frac{v_1 u z}{u^2 z^4 + 2} , \ldots , \frac{v_{2r} u z}{u^2 z^4 + 2} \right) = \log \mathbb{P}_{2/z^4} (u ; v_1 , \ldots , v_{2r}). \quad (6-6)
\]

This expression satisfies the PDE (5-3), with \( \alpha \) and \( b_1 , \ldots , b_{2r} \) replaced by \( u \) and \( v_1 , \ldots , v_{2r} \). Therefore all the partials of \( \log \mathbb{P} \) with regard to these variables \( u \) and \( v_1 , \ldots , v_{2r} \), as appears in the PDE (5-3), can be expressed, by virtue of (6-6), by partials of \( Q_z \) with regard to \( s \) and \( x_1 , \ldots , x_{2r} \).

For this, we need to compute the expressions \( F^\pm , \tilde{B}_{-1} F^\pm , \tilde{B}_{-1}^2 F^\pm , G^\pm \) and \( \tilde{B}_{-1} G^\pm \) appearing in (5-3) (where we use tildes in contrast to the operators defined in (6-3)), in terms of
\[
Q_z (s' ; x_1 , \ldots , x_{2r}) \\
= \log \mathbb{P}_{2/z^4} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{z^2} \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{2} + sz^2}{\frac{1}{2} - sz^2} ; x_1 \frac{z \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^2 z^4}} , \ldots , x_{2r} \frac{z \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^2 z^4}} } \right) \\
= Q (s ; x_1 , \ldots , x_{2r}) + O(z). \quad (6-7)
\]
with
\[
Q(s ; x_1 , \ldots , x_{2r}) = \log \det \left( I - K_s \chi_{Kc} \right). \quad (6-8)
\]
Without taking the limit \( z \to 0 \) on \( Q_z (s' ; x_1 , \ldots , x_{2r}) \) yet, one computes, upon setting \( \varepsilon := \pm \),
\[
F^\varepsilon = - \frac{4}{z^4} - \frac{1}{4z^2} \tilde{B}_{-1}^2 Q_z + \varepsilon \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} + O(z),
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{B}_{-1} F^\varepsilon = - \frac{1}{16z^3} \tilde{B}_{-1}^3 Q_z + \frac{\varepsilon}{16z^3} \tilde{B}_{-1}^3 \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} - \frac{\varepsilon s}{8} \tilde{B}_{-1}^3 \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} + O(z),
\]
\[
\tilde{B}_{-1}^2 F^\varepsilon = - \frac{1}{32z^4} \tilde{B}_{-1}^4 Q_z + \frac{\varepsilon}{32z^4} \tilde{B}_{-1}^4 \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} - \frac{\varepsilon s}{16z} \tilde{B}_{-1}^4 \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} + O(1),
\]

Using these expressions, one easily deduces for small $z$,

$$0 = (F^+ \tilde{B}_{-1} G^- + F^- \tilde{B}_{-1} G^+) (F^+ \tilde{B}_{-1} F^- - F^- \tilde{B}_{-1} F^+)
- (F^+ G^- + F^- G^+) (F^+ \tilde{B}_{-1}^2 F^- - F^- \tilde{B}_{-1}^2 F^+)
= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i 17} \left( \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s^3} \right)_{B_{-1}} + (B_0 - 2) \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} \left( B_{-1} Q_z, B_{-1} \frac{\partial Q_z}{\partial s} \right)_{B_{-1}} \right) + O\left( \frac{1}{z^{15}} \right)
= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i 17} \text{(the same expression for } Q(s; x_1, \ldots, x_{2r}) \text{)} + O\left( \frac{1}{z^{16}} \right),$$

using (6-8) in the last equality. Taking the limit when $z \to 0$ yields equation 6-5 of Theorem 6.1.

### 7. The Airy process

Consider $n$ nonintersecting Brownian motions on $\mathbb{R}$, all leaving from the origin and forced to return to the origin. According to formula (4-2), this probability,

$$\Pi := \| \mathbb{P}^0 \| \text{ (all } x_i(t) \in E \text{ | all } x_j(0) = x_j(1) = 0),$$

can be expressed in terms of the determinant of a moment matrix and further as an integral over Hermitian matrices, both with rescaled space, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. To do this we let $\mathcal{H}_n(E)$ denote the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices with
spectrum in the set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$, and one checks that

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{Z_n} \det \left( \int_{E(\sqrt{2/\sqrt{1-t}})} dy \ y^{d+j} e^{-y^2/2} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{\gamma_n(E(1/\sqrt{1-t})))} e^{-\text{Tr} M^2} dM.$$

The Airy process $A(\tau)$ describes the nonintersecting Brownian motions above for large $n$, but viewed from the (right-hand) edge of the set of particles, with time and space properly rescaled, so that the new time scale $\tau$ equals 0 when $t = 1/2$. Random matrix theory suggests the following time and space rescaling (edge rescaling):

$$t = 1 + e^{-2\tau/n^{1/3}}, \quad E = \frac{\sqrt{2n} + (-\infty, x)}{2 \cosh \frac{\tau}{n^{1/3}}}.$$

Taking the limit when $n \to \infty$, one finds that the rescaled motion becomes time-independent (stationary),

$$P(A(\tau) \leq x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}_0 \left( \text{all } x_i \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-2\tau/n^{1/3}}} \right) \in \frac{\sqrt{2n} + (-\infty, x)}{2 \cosh(\tau/n^{1/3})} \bigg| \text{ all } x_j(0) = x_j(1) = 0 \right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{\gamma_n(\sqrt{2n} + ((-\infty, x)/\sqrt{2n^{1/6}}))} e^{-\text{Tr} M^2} dM$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Prob} \left( \text{all eigenvalues of } M \leq \sqrt{2n} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n^{1/6}}} \right)$$

$$= \exp \left( -\int_{x}^{\infty} (a - x) g^2(a) d\alpha \right)$$

$$=: F_2(x) = \text{Tracy–Widom distribution},$$

with $g(\alpha)$ the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} 
    g'' = \alpha g + 2g^3 & \text{(Painlevé II).} \\
    g(\alpha) \approx - \frac{e^{-(2/3) \alpha^{3/2}}}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \alpha^{1/4}} & \text{for } \alpha \to \infty.
\end{cases}$$

This is to say the outmost particle in the nonintersecting Brownian motions fluctuates according to the Tracy–Widom distribution [1994] for $n \to \infty$.

Since the Airy process is stationary, the joint distribution for two times $t_1 < t_2$ in $[0, 1]$ is of interest; here one checks that
\[ \mathbb{P}_0^0 (\text{all } x_i(t_1) \in E_1, \text{all } x_i(t_2) \in E_2 \mid \text{all } x_j(0) = x_j(1) = 0) = \mathbb{P}_n \left( \frac{t_1 (1 - t_2)}{t_2 (1 - t_1)}; E_1 \sqrt{\frac{2t_2}{(t_2 - t_1)t_1}}, E_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - t_1)}{(1 - t_2)(t_2 - t_1)}} \right). \] (7.2)

where

\[ \mathbb{P}_n(c; E_1', E_2') := \frac{1}{Z_n} \int_{\mathcal{P}(E_1') \times \mathcal{P}(E_2')} dM_1 dM_2 e^{-\frac{c}{2} \text{Tr}(M_1^2 + M_2^2 - 2cM_1 M_2)} \]

\[ = c'_N \int_{E \times E} A_N(x) A_N(y) \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\frac{c}{2} (x_k^2 + y_k^2 - 2c x_k y_k)} dX_k dY_k. \]

According to [Adler and van Moerbeke 1999b], given

\[ E = E_1 \times E_2 := \bigcup_{i=1}^r [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}] \times \bigcup_{i=1}^s [b_{2i-1}, b_{2i}] \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \] (7.3)

\[ \log \mathbb{P}_n(c; E_1, E_2) \text{ satisfies a nonlinear third-order partial differential equation} \]

(in terms of the Wronskian \( \{ f, g \}_X = g(Xf) - f(Xg) \), with regard to the first order differential operator \( X \))):

\[ \left\{ B_2 A_1 \log \mathbb{P}_n, B_1 A_1 \log \mathbb{P}_n + \frac{nc}{c^2 - 1} \right\} A_1 \]

\[ - \left\{ A_2 B_1 \log \mathbb{P}_n, A_1 B_1 \log \mathbb{P}_n + \frac{nc}{c^2 - 1} \right\} B_1 = 0. \] (7.4)

in terms of the differential operators, depending on the coupling term \( c \) and the boundary of \( E \),

\[ A_1 = \frac{1}{c^2 - 1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} + c \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j} \right), \quad B_1 = \frac{1}{1 - c^2} \left( c \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} + \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j} \right). \]

\[ A_2 = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j} - c \frac{\partial}{\partial c}, \quad B_2 = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial b_j} - c \frac{\partial}{\partial c}. \] (7.5)

Using the same rescaled space and time variables, as before, introduce new times \( \tau_1 < \tau_2 \) and points \( x, y \in \mathbb{R} \), defined as

\[ t_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2\tau_i / n^{1/3}}}, \quad E_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2n} + (\infty, x)}{2 \cosh \frac{\tau_1}{n^{1/3}}}, \quad E_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2n} + (-\infty, y)}{2 \cosh \frac{\tau_2}{n^{1/3}}}. \]
One verifies, in view of (7-2), that

\[ E_1 \sqrt{\frac{2t_2}{(t_2-t_1)t_1}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \left( \sqrt{2n} + \frac{(-\infty, x)}{\sqrt{2n^{1/6}}} \right)}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2(t_2-t_1)/n^{1/3}}}}, \]

\[ E_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(1-t_1)}{(1-t_2)(t_2-t_1)}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \left( \sqrt{2n} + \frac{(-\infty, y)}{\sqrt{2n^{1/6}}} \right)}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2(t_2-t_1)/n^{1/3}}}}, \]

\[ c = \frac{\sqrt{t_1(1-t_2)}}{t_2(1-t_1)} = e^{-(t_2-t_1)/n^{1/3}}. \]

Defining

\[ \mathcal{Q}(t_2 - t_1; x, y) := \log P_n \left( e^{-(t_2-t_1)/n^{1/3}}, \frac{2\sqrt{n} + \frac{x}{n^{1/6}}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2(t_2-t_1)/n^{1/3}}}}, \frac{2\sqrt{n} + \frac{y}{n^{1/6}}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2(t_2-t_1)/n^{1/3}}}} \right), \]

one checks, setting \( z = n^{-1/6} \) and using the inverse map, that

\[ \log P_n(c; a, b) = \mathcal{Q}(-z^{-2} \log c; az^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^2 - 2z^{-4}}, bz^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^2 - 2z^{-4}}). \]

But \( \log P_n(c; E_1, E_2) \) satisfies the PDE (7-4), which induces a PDE for \( \mathcal{Q} \); then letting \( z \to \infty \), the leading term in this series must be \( = 0 \). One finds thus the following PDE for the Airy joint probability, namely

\[ H(t; x, y) := \log P (A(t_1) \leq y + x, A(t_2) \leq y - x), \]

takes on the following simple form in \( x, y \) and \( t^2 \), with \( t = t_2 - t_1 \), also involving a Wronskian (see [Adler and van Moerbeke 2005])

\[ 2t \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial t \partial x \partial y} = \left( \frac{t^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y^2} \right) + \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial y}, \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y^2} \right\}_y, \quad (7-6) \]

with initial condition

\[ \lim_{t \to 0} H(t; x, y) = \log F_2 \left( \min(y + x, y - x) \right). \]

The edge \( \sup A(t) \) of the cloud is non-Markovian, as is the largest particle in the finite nonintersecting Brownian problem. As \( t = t_2 - t_1 \to \infty \), the edges \( \sup A(t_1) \) and \( \sup A(t_2) \) become independent. This poses the question: \textit{How}
much does the process remember from the remote past? The following asymptotics for the covariance of the edge of the cloud, for large \( t = \tau_2 - \tau_1 \), is deduced from the PDE:

\[
E(\sup A(\tau_2) \sup A(\tau_1)) - E(\sup A(\tau_2))E(\sup A(\tau_1)) = \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2}{t^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(u, v) \, du \, dv + \cdots,
\]

where

\[
\Phi(u, v) := F_2(u)F_2(v) \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_u^\infty g^2 \, d\alpha \right)^2 \left( \int_v^\infty g^2 \, d\alpha \right)^2 \right.
\]

\[
+ g^2(u) \left( \frac{1}{4} g^2(v) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_v^\infty g^2 \, d\alpha \right)^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_v^\infty d\alpha (2(v - \alpha)g^2 + g^2 - g^4) \int_u^\infty g^2 \, d\alpha \right).
\]

(Here \( g = g(\alpha) \) is the function (7-1) and \( F_2(u) \) is the Tracy–Widom distribution.)

The Airy process was introduced by Spohn and Pr"ahofer [2002] in the context of polynuclear growth models. It has been further investigated by Johansson [2001; 2003; 2005], by Tracy and Widom [2004] and by Adler and van Moerbeke [2005]; see also [Widom 2004].
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