

**HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT 6**  
**QUANTUM MECHANICS**  
**DUE APR 1, 2008**

SILVIO LEVY

**1a.** *Time-dependent perturbation theory refresher.* If  $a_0 = 1$  at  $t = 0$ , then for a weak perturbation,  $\hat{H}^{(1)}(t)$ , the first order perturbed wavefunction is

$$|\Psi_0^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{j>0} a_j(t) |\Psi_j^{(0)}\rangle \exp(-iE_j^{(0)}t/\hbar). \quad (*)$$

Show that the expansion coefficients can be written as

$$a_j(t) \approx \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \langle \Psi_j^{(0)} | \hat{H}^{(1)}(t') | \Psi_0^{(0)} \rangle \exp(i\omega_{j0}t'). \quad (**)$$

**Solution.** The time derivative of (\*) is, thanks to the product rule,

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_0^{(1)}}{\partial t} = \sum_j \left( \dot{a}_j(t) - \frac{i}{\hbar} a_j E_j^{(0)} \right) \Psi_j^{(0)} \exp(-iE_j^{(0)}t/\hbar).$$

Substituting into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi_0^{(1)}}{\partial t} = (H^{(0)} + H^{(1)}(t)) \Psi_0^{(1)},$$

we obtain (dropping the superscript 0 from  $E_j^{(0)}$  and making  $\hbar = 1$ )

$$\sum_j (i\dot{a}_j(t) + a_j E_j) \Psi_j^{(0)} \exp(-iE_j t) = \sum_j a_j (E_j \Psi_j^{(0)} \exp(-iE_j t) + H^{(1)}(\Psi_j^{(0)} \exp(-iE_j t))),$$

or, after cancellation,

$$\sum_j i\dot{a}_j \Psi_j^{(0)} \exp(-iE_j t) = \sum_j a_j H^{(1)}(\Psi_j^{(0)} \exp(-iE_j t)).$$

Projection onto  $\Psi_m^{(0)}$  gives

$$i \sum_j \dot{a}_j \langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | \Psi_j^{(0)} \rangle \exp(-iE_j t) = \sum_j a_j \langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | H^{(1)} | \Psi_j^{(0)} \rangle \exp(-iE_j t),$$

where we have assumed that  $H^{(1)}$  commutes with multiplication by  $\exp(-iE_j t)$  (this is the usual case — and always holds when  $H^{(1)}$  is a potential). Using orthonormality we then get

$$i\dot{a}_m \exp(-iE_m t) = \sum_j a_j \langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | H^{(1)} | \Psi_j^{(0)} \rangle \exp(-iE_j t), \quad \text{or}$$

$$\dot{a}_m = -i \sum_j a_j \langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | H^{(1)} | \Psi_j^{(0)} \rangle \exp(-i(E_j - E_m)t).$$

Now approximate this system of ordinary differential equations by the *uncoupled* system

$$\dot{a}_m = -i \langle \Psi_m^{(0)} | H^{(1)} | \Psi_0^{(0)} \rangle \exp(-i(E_0 - E_m)t),$$

using the fact that  $a_j \approx a_j(0) = \delta_{j0}$  if  $t$  is small. Integrating each of these approximate equations gives precisely the expression (\*\*) if we replace  $m$  by  $j$ , set  $(E_j - E_0) = \omega_{j0}$  and restore  $\hbar$ .

**1b.** Find the explicit form for these expansion coefficients when the perturbation is

$$\hat{H}^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu} (e^{i\omega t} + e^{-i\omega t}).$$

**Solution.** Introduce the dipole moment matrix element  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{j0} = \langle \Psi_j^{(0)} | \boldsymbol{\mu} | \Psi_0^{(0)} \rangle$ . From part (a),

$$\begin{aligned} a_j(t) &\approx \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j0} \left( \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t dt' (\exp(i\omega t') + \exp(-i\omega t')) \exp(i\omega_{j0} t') \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j0} \left( \frac{1 - \exp(i(\omega_{j0} + \omega)t)}{\omega_{j0} + \omega} + \frac{1 - \exp(i(\omega_{j0} - \omega)t)}{\omega_{j0} - \omega} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{j0} \left( \frac{\omega_{j0}(1 - \exp(i\omega_{j0}t) \cos(\omega t)) + i\omega \exp(i\omega_{j0}t) \sin(\omega t)}{\omega_{j0}^2 - \omega^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**2.** (Schatz and Ratner, problem 5.5) Consider that an electron is harmonically bound to a molecule. This means that the electronic hamiltonian is

$$H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_0^2 x^2$$

where  $x$  is the electronic coordinate and  $\omega_0$  is the frequency of oscillation. The electronic wavefunctions in this case are simply harmonic oscillator states, and the energy levels are  $E_n = \hbar\omega_0(n + \frac{1}{2})$ . Show that the Rayleigh polarizability for this system is

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2/m}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}.$$

**Solution.** We start from formula (5.125) of Schatz and Ratner, which gives the polarizability for a system in a stationary state in terms of the energy spectrum and the dipole coupling involving this state and all others. Adjusting the notation to avoid confusion with the mass  $m$ , and realizing that the problem is one-dimensional, so the outer product  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{mn}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{nm}$  in (5.125) reduces to the product of two complex conjugate scalars, we have for the polarizability in state  $k$  the value

$$\alpha_{kk} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_n |\mu_{kn}|^2 \frac{2\omega_{nk}}{\omega_{nk}^2 - \omega^2}, \quad (\dagger)$$

where  $\mu_{kn} = \langle k | \mu | n \rangle$ , with  $\mu = -ex$ . To find  $\mu_{kn}$  we use the explicit form of the wavefunction,

$$\psi_n = A_n e^{-u^2/2} H_n(u), \quad \text{with } u = x \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_0}{\hbar}} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_0}{\pi \hbar}}.$$

We know from the dipole selection rules that the integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_k u \psi_n du$  (for  $k \leq n$ ) vanishes unless  $n = k + 1$ . Mathematica gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2} H_k(u) \cdot u \cdot e^{-u^2/2} H_{k+1}(u) du = 2^k \sqrt{\pi} (k+1)!,$$

so

$$\mu_{k,k+1} = -e A_n A_{n+1} 2^k \sqrt{\pi} (k+1)! \frac{\hbar}{m\omega_0},$$

where the last factor comes from the scaling  $u \mapsto x$ . Simplification leads to

$$\mu_{k,k+1} = -e \sqrt{\frac{\hbar(k+1)}{2m\omega_0}} = \mu_{k+1,k}.$$

From this,  $(\dagger)$  and the equalities  $\omega_{k,k-1} = -\omega_{k,k+1} = \omega_0$  we obtain

$$\alpha_{kk} = \frac{1}{\hbar} |\mu_{k,k+1}|^2 \frac{2\omega_0}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2} + \frac{1}{\hbar} |\mu_{k,k-1}|^2 \frac{-2\omega_0}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2} = \left( e^2 \frac{k+1}{2m\omega_0} - e^2 \frac{k}{2m\omega_0} \right) \frac{2\omega_0}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2} = \frac{e^2/m}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}.$$

In particular, the polarizability does not depend on the state  $k$ .

**3a.** (Schatz and Ratner, problem 5.4) When high-intensity radiation interacts with matter, the induced dipole  $\mu^{\text{ind}}$  is related to the field  $E_\omega$  by

$$\mu^{\text{ind}} = \alpha E_\omega + \beta E_\omega^2 + \gamma E_\omega^3 + \dots$$

which includes terms that depend nonlinearly on  $E_\omega$ . The first nonlinear term is proportional to the hyperpolarizability  $\beta$ . Allowing the induced dipole moment from this term to interact with another radiation field  $E_{\omega'}$  leads to the interaction

$$V_{\text{int}} = -E_{\omega'} \cdot \beta \cdot E_\omega^2.$$

Using the semiclassical theory of radiation and the long-wavelength approximation, find the term in  $V_{\text{int}}$  that causes Stokes-hyper-Raman scattering (i.e., absorption of two  $\omega$  photons, emission of one  $\omega'$  photon with  $\omega' < 2\omega$ ).

**Solution.** We rewrite the expression of  $V_{\text{int}}$  as

$$V_{\text{int}} = -\beta(\mathbf{E}_{\omega'}, \mathbf{E}_\omega, \mathbf{E}_\omega) \quad (\ddagger)$$

to emphasize that  $\beta$  is a trilinear form (rank-three tensor). Let the two fields be

$$\mathbf{E}_\omega = \frac{A_0\omega}{c} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cos \omega t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_{\omega'} = \frac{A'_0\omega'}{c} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \cos \omega' t.$$

Substituting in  $(\ddagger)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{int}} &= -\left(\frac{\omega A_0}{c}\right)^2 \frac{A'_0\omega'}{c} \beta(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 (e^{i\omega t} + e^{-i\omega t})^2 (e^{i\omega' t} + e^{-i\omega' t}) \\ &= -U (e^{2i\omega t} + 2 + e^{-2i\omega t}) (e^{i\omega' t} + e^{-i\omega' t}) \\ &= -U (e^{i(2\omega+\omega')t} + 2e^{i\omega' t} + e^{i(-2\omega+\omega')t} + e^{i(2\omega-\omega')t} + 2e^{-i\omega' t} + e^{i(-2\omega-\omega')t}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$U = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\omega A_0}{c}\right)^2 \frac{A'_0\omega'}{c} \beta(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}', \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}).$$

The term responsible for Stokes-hyper-Raman scattering is the one involving  $e^{i(-2\omega+\omega')t}$ , if  $\omega' < 2\omega$ .

**3b.** What other transitions does  $V_{\text{int}}$  cause?

- absorption of an  $\omega'$  photon and emission of two  $\omega$  photons;
- absorption of an  $\omega'$  photon and absorption of two  $\omega$  photons;
- emission of an  $\omega'$  photon and emission of two  $\omega$  photons;
- absorption or emission of a single  $\omega'$  photon (with absorption-emission of an  $\omega$  photon).

In each case, one should regard the order in which photons are absorbed or emitted as indeterminate.