

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Stephen Hermes Email/Phone: SRHERMES@BERKDELS.EDU

Speaker's Name: D. Hernandez

Talk Title: Non-Simply Laced Quantum Affine Algebras : Cluster Algebras.

Date: 11 / 1 / 12 Time: 11 : 00 (m) / pm (circle one)

List 6-12 key words for the talk: Quantum Algebras, Monoidal Categorification, Cluster Algebras, Dynkin Diagrams, T-Systems.

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: The speaker defined monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras and introduced a family of such categorifications defined in terms of quantized enveloping algebras. He showed that certain T-systems arise from this theory.

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not** pay for incomplete forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

Non Simply-Laced Quantum Affine Algebras and Cluster Algebras

D. Hernandez

November 1, 2012

Joint with B. Leclerc.

1 Monoidal Categorifications

Consider a cluster algebra $A(\tilde{B})$ with \tilde{B} skew-symmetric. Let Q be the associated quiver and \mathcal{M} a monoidal category.

Definition 1.1. \mathcal{M} is a *monoidal categorification* of $A(\tilde{B})$ if there is a ring isomorphism between $A(\tilde{B})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{M})$ (the Grothendieck ring) such that through this isomorphism, cluster monomials are the classes of real simple objects of \mathcal{M} (S in \mathcal{M} is *real* if $S \otimes S$ is simple).

Remark 1.2. There is a bijection between cluster variables and the classes of real prime objects (S is *prime* if there is no isomorphism $S \cong S_1 \otimes S_2$ with S_i non-trivial).

Consequences:

1. For the cluster algebra $A(\tilde{B})$:
 - (a) Every cluster variable of $A(\tilde{B})$ has a Laurent expansion with positive coefficients with respect to any seed.
 - (b) Cluster monomials of $A(\tilde{B})$ are linearly independent (though there is a general proof of this through additive categorification).
2. For the category \mathcal{M} :
 - (a) Can compute factorization of simple (real) objects in terms of prime objects.
 - (b) Can compute Clebsch-Gordon coefficients of \mathcal{M} .

Known Examples:

1. (H., Leclerc '09) Constructed monoidal categorifications by using categories of representations of quantum affine algebras. Got monoidal categorifications of type A, D_4 (bipartite).
2. (Nakajima '09): For all A, D, E types (bipartite).
3. General acyclic (bipartite) case: (almost) obtained monoidal categorification by using perverse sheaves on quiver varieties.
4. (HL '12) By using $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ for types A, D (with linear orientation)
5. (Kimura-Qin '12) Generalization of Nakajima's approach to acyclic with general orientation.

Conjecture 1.3 (Still open HL '09). The categories \mathcal{C}_ℓ for $\ell \geq 2$ are monoidal categorifications (the above constructions are \mathcal{C}_1).

What about non-simply laced quantum affine algebras? At this point, there is no quiver variety theory for these cases.

2 Quantum Affine Algebras

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Let $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the vertices of the corresponding Dynkin diagram and r_1, \dots, r_n the corresponding root lengths. Define a Lie algebra $L\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\epsilon^\pm]$ (Laurent polynomials in ϵ) the *loop algebra* of \mathfrak{g} . The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ is the *quantum loop algebra* (a quotient of a quantum affine algebra: a Drinfel'd-Jimbo quantum group). It known to be a Hopf algebra.

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of finite dimensional representations of $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$. Simple objects in \mathcal{C} are given by the objects $L(m)$ where

$$m = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ a \in \mathbb{C}^*}} Y_{i,a}$$

(Drinfel'd polynomials). The representation ring is

$$\text{Rep}(U_q(L\mathfrak{g})) = \bigoplus_m \mathbb{Z}[L(m)].$$

Theorem 2.1 (Frenkel-Reshetikhin '98). $\text{Rep}(U_q(L\mathfrak{g}))$ is a commutative polynomial ring generated by the representation $[L(Y_{i,a})]$.

T -systems can be realized in this ring. This suggests it should have something to do with monoidal categorification. To do this we need Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules. For $k \geq 0, a \in \mathbb{C}^*, 1 \leq i \leq n$ define

$$W_{k,a}^{(i)} = L(Y_{i,a}, Y_{i,aq^{2r_i}}, \dots, Y_{i,aq^{2(k-1)r_i}})$$

Theorem 2.2 (N04, H06). The $[W_{k,a}^{(i)}]$ satisfy T -systems

$$[W_{k,a}^{(i)}][W_{k,aq^{2r_i}}^{(i)}] = [W_{k+1,a}^{(i)}][W_{k-1,aq^{2r_i}}^{(i)}] + \prod_{i \neq j} [W_{k,aq}^{(j)}]$$

Example 2.3. For $\mathfrak{g} = B_2, r_1 = 2, r_2 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} [W_{k,a}^{(1)}][W_{k,aq^4}^{(1)}] &= [W_{k+1,a}^{(1)}][W_{k-1,aq^4}^{(1)}] + [W_{2k,aq}^{(2)}] \\ [W_{k,a}^{(2)}][W_{k,aq^2}^{(2)}] &= [W_{k+1,a}^{(2)}][W_{k-1,aq^2}^{(2)}] + [W_{[(k+1)/2],aq}^{(1)}][W_{[k/2],aq^3}^{(1)}] \end{aligned}$$

For the non simply-laced case T -systems were studied in relation to cluster algebras in [Inoue-Iyama-Keller-Kuniba-Nakanishi].

3 Monoidal Subcategories of \mathcal{C}

Let $r = \max\{r_i : i \in I\}$ be the *lacing number* of \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 3.1. An *upper height* ϕ is a collection $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

1. $r_i = 1$ implies $\phi_1(i) = \dots = \phi_r(i) =: \phi(i)$
2. $c_{ij}c_{ji} = 1$ implies $|\phi_k(i) - \phi_k(j)| = r_i$ for each k
3. $\{\phi_1(j), \dots, \phi_r(j)\} = \{\phi_1(i) + \epsilon, \dots, \phi_r(i) + \epsilon + 2 - 2r\}$

Similarly, we define ψ to be a *lower height* if $-\psi$ is upper.

Example 3.2. For $B_2, \phi_1(1) = 2, \phi_2(1) = 4, \phi(2) = 3$ is lower and $\psi_1(1) = 6, \psi_2(1) = 8, \psi(2) = 7$ is upper.

Definition 3.3. Let ϕ be lower and ψ be upper. Define \mathcal{C}_ϕ^ψ to be the subcategory of \mathcal{C} of objects whose Jordan-Hölder series involves simple objects of the form $L(m)$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,q^\ell}]$ and

$$\ell \in \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq \ell} \{\phi_k(i), \phi_k(i) + 2r_i, \dots, \psi_k(i)\}$$

(Assume $\psi_k(i) = \phi_k(i) + 2r_i$).

Proposition 3.4. \mathcal{C}_ϕ^ψ is a monoidal category.

Theorem 3.5. For each non-simply laced type, there is a non-trivial category \mathcal{C}_ϕ^ψ which is a monoidal categorification of a finite type cluster algebra. For \mathfrak{g} of type B_n we get a cluster algebra of type A_{2n} , for C_n get A_{n+1} , F_4 get D_6 , for G_2 get A_4 .

4 The Proof

1. Consider a family of prime objects. Label by cluster variables in an initial seed.
2. F -polynomials are identities in terms of q -characters in \mathcal{C}_ϕ^ψ .
3. Use [H '10] to get for S_1, \dots, S_n in \mathcal{C} , $S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ is simple iff $S_i \otimes S_j$ is simple for all $i \neq j$.