

Calculus for Nonlinear Spectral Gaps (Manor Mendel)

Poincaré inequality for graphs

Let A be symm. stochastic $n \times n$ matrix

Let $\gamma = \inf_{\delta > 0} \delta$ s.t.

$$\forall f: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ (or } \ell_2)$$

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j} \|f(i) - f(j)\|^2 \leq \gamma \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \|f(i) - f(j)\|^2$$

Claim: $\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_2(A)}$.

Thm (Linial, London, Rabinovich; Makoušek; Gromov):

Expanders do not embed well in ℓ_2 .

Def: Define $\gamma(A, X)$ by replacing ℓ_2 with X in the defⁿ of γ .

The idea is to show that expanders do not embed well in ℓ_2 .

Def: A Banach space is uniformly convex if

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) := \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} ; \|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

is positive $\forall \varepsilon > 0$.

Q: Kosparov-Yu: are there expanders wrt uniformly convex Banach spaces?

Thm (Lafforgue): Yes, but the proof was complicated.

Reingold-Vadhan-Wigderson gave a combinatorial construction of expanders using a "zig-zag" product:

Start with a nice enough H d -reg on $\approx d^{2t}$ verts.

$$G_0 = H^2$$

$$\gamma(G \otimes H) \leq \gamma(H) \cdot \gamma(H^2)$$

⋮

$$G_{i+1} = G_i^t \otimes H.$$

$$\gamma(G^t) = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_2(G)^t} \approx \max \{1, \gamma(G)/\varepsilon\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(G_{i+1}) \leq C \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\gamma(G_i)}{\varepsilon} \right\} \cdot \gamma(H)^2$$

$$\leq \text{uniform constant if } \gamma(H) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

On a general metric space,

$$\gamma(G \oplus H, X) \leq \gamma(G, X) \cdot \gamma(H, X)^2.$$

More problematic is $\gamma(G^t)$: there are some metric spaces on which this doesn't decay well enough.

Main results:

- a new proof of Lefforgue's thm
- examples of E, G, X : $G \hookrightarrow X$, $\gamma(E, X) \leq C$.

Lipschitz extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F: Y \dashrightarrow X & & \text{want } F \text{ s.t. } \|F\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq C_{X,Y} \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \\ \uparrow \text{id} & \nearrow & \\ & Z & \\ & \mathcal{F} & \end{array}$$

K. Ball: if X is \mathcal{L}_p , $1 < p \leq 2$ (more generally), Markov co-type 2
 Y has Markov type 2.
then you can extend

Thm: Hadamard spaces have Markov co-type 2.

Examples of Hadamard spaces: Euclidean, hyperbolic, large girth graphs

Def: X is P -barycentric if \forall bounded prob mass μ on X ,
 $\exists b(\mu)$ s.t. \leftarrow Wasserstein 1-distance

$$1) \forall \mu, \sigma \quad d_X(b(\mu), b(\sigma)) \leq c W_1(\mu, \sigma)$$

$$2) \forall \mu, a \in X,$$

$$d_X(a, b(\mu))^P + c \int d_X(b(\mu), y)^P d\mu(y) \leq \int d_X(a, y)^P d\mu(y)$$

Turns out Hadamard spaces are barycentric w/ $p=2$.
(this can be taken as the definition).

For a random variable Z in a P -barycentric Banach space,
 $\|E Z\|^P + L^{-P} E \|Z - E Z\|^P \leq E \|Z\|^P$

From this, we derive a martingale inequality:

take a filtration $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m$.

M_n a martingale if

$$M_{n+1} = b(M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}).$$

Example:



\mathcal{F}_0 trivial, \mathcal{F}_2 is everything

$$M_0 \neq b(M_2 | \mathcal{F}_0) !$$

Martingale cotype: if $E d_X(M_m, M_0)^P \geq c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} E d_X(M_i, M_{i+1})^P$
 then we say X has martingale cotype P .

Recall Markov type: X has Markov type 2 if for all stochastic matrix A, x_1, \dots, x_n ,

$$\sum (A^m)_{ij} d_X(x_i, x_j)^2 \leq M \sum A_{ij} d_X(x_i, x_j)^2$$

Markov cotype: $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \exists y_1, \dots, y_n$ s.t.

$$\sum (A^m)_{ij} d(x_i, x_j)^2$$

$$\geq \max \left\{ m \sum_{ij} A_{ij} d_X(y_i, y_j)^2, \sum d(x_i, y_i)^2 \right\}.$$