

A Nullstellensatz with Nilpotents and Zariski's Main Lemma on Holomorphic Functions

DAVID EISENBUD AND MELVIN HOCHSTER*

*Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254
and*

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Communicated by D. Buchsbaum

Received December 20, 1977

The classical Nullstellensatz asserts that a reduced affine variety is known by its closed points; algebraically, a prime ideal in an affine ring is the intersection of the maximal ideals containing it. A leading special case of our theorem says that any affine scheme can be distinguished from its subschemes by its closed points with a bounded index of nilpotency; algebraically, an ideal I in an affine ring A may be written as

$$I = \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} (m^e + I), \tag{*}$$

where \mathcal{N} is the set of maximal ideals containing I , and e is an integer depending on the degree of nilpotency of A/I .

Our theorem might also be thought of as a sharpening of Zariski's Main Lemma on holomorphic functions [4]. Roughly speaking, this lemma asserts that if a regular function f on an irreducible affine variety V vanishes to order e at each of a dense set \mathcal{N} of closed points of V , then it vanishes to order e at the generic point; that is, if P is the prime ideal in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ defining V , then

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e = P^{(e)}, \tag{**}$$

the e th symbolic power of P , where the intersection is taken over a dense set of maximal ideals m of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ containing P . Of course this implies that, if I is a P -primary ideal containing $P^{(e)}$, then

$$I \supset \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e;$$

(*), above, is a sharpening that includes (**).

Our proof is related to Zariski's but is simpler than his.

* Both authors are grateful for the support of the NSF during the preparation of this work, and to the organizers of the CBMS conference at Dekalb, 1977, for providing a congenial environment, in which the work was done.

Throughout this paper, all rings will be commutative and Noetherian, with identity. If R is a ring and P is a prime ideal of R , a finitely generated module M is said to be P -coprimary if P is the only associated prime of M [2]. The e th symbolic power $P^{(e)}$ of P is by definition the inverse image of P_P^e in R ($P_P^e \cap R$ if R is a domain), which is the P -primary component of P^e .

Results

THEOREM. *Let R be a ring, and let P be a prime ideal of R . Let \mathcal{N} be a set of maximal ideals m containing P such that R_m/P_m is a regular local ring, and such that*

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m = P.$$

If M is a finitely generated P -coprimary module annihilated by P^e , then

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e M = 0.$$

(Note: Since M is P -coprimary, P^e annihilates M if and only if $P^{(e)}$ does.)

COROLLARY 1 (Zariski's Main Lemma on Holomorphic Functions [4]). *With R , P , and \mathcal{N} as above we have*

$$P^{(e)} \supseteq \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e \supseteq \bigcap_{m \in \max \operatorname{spec} R, m \supset P} m^e.$$

If R is regular, the inclusions may be replaced by equalities.

Proof. The first statement, which is the original "Lemma," follows from our theorem with $M = R/P^{(e)}$. For the second statement, it suffices to prove $m^e \supset P^{(e)}$ for any $m \supset P$; this is the content of a theorem of Nagata [3, p. 143] and Zariski (see [1, Theorem 1]). The next corollary answers a question of B. Wehrfritz which originally motivated this study.

COROLLARY 2. *Let A be a ring finitely generated over a field or over the integers, and let M be a finitely generated A -module. For sufficiently large e , we have*

$$\bigcap_{m \in \max \operatorname{spec} A} m^e M = 0.$$

(In fact, if $0 = \bigcap M_i$ is a primary decomposition of $0 \subset M$, with M/M_i P_i -coprimary, and $P_i^{e_i}(M/M_i) = 0$, then we may take e to be the maximum of the e_i).

Proof. By [2, Ch. 12 and 13], the regular locus of any domain A/P , finitely generated over the integers or a field, is open and therefore dense. Thus we

may apply our Theorem to the coprimary modules M/M_i , with the desired result. ■

Remarks. (1) Clearly, it suffices in Corollary 2 that A be an excellent Hilbert ring.

(2) The hypothesis of the Theorem that the smooth points are dense in $\text{max-spec } R$ cannot simply be dropped: there is a 2-dimensional Noetherian regular factorial ring R whose maximal ideals form a countable set, say $\{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$, such that $\bigcap_i m_i$ is a nonzero, principal ideal (f) , whose generator f is in the i th power of m_i for all i . Setting $\bar{R} = R/(f^2)$, we see that there is no integer k such that the intersection of the k th powers of the maximal ideals of \bar{R} is 0.

The example may be constructed as follows: Let $\{X_n\}, \{Y_n\}$ be countable families of indeterminates over an algebraically closed field K . Set:

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= X_n^n - Y_n^{n+1}, \\ I_n &= (f_2 - f_1, \dots, f_n - f_1) K[X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n]; \\ S_n &= K[X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n]/I_n; \\ U_n &= S_n - \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X_i, Y_i) S_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then U_n is a multiplicatively closed set in S_n , and we set $R_n = U_n^{-1} S_n$. There is an obvious injection $R_n \rightarrow R_{n+1}$ which is faithfully flat. We set

$$R = \varinjlim R_n,$$

and let f be the image of f_i in R .

One can verify that the maximal ideals of R are precisely the ideals (X_i, Y_i) , and that R and f have the properties above (To prove that R is Noetherian, use Cohen's Theorem [3], noting that primes of R are either maximal, and of the form (X_i, Y_i) , or of height 1, and thus principal). The ideal (f) is a prime. Note that R is not pseudogeometric; the integral closure of $R/(f)$ is not a finite $R/(f)$ -module.

(3) A different approach to the proof of the Theorem could be obtained by proving some kind of "Uniform Artin-Rees Theorem," which we pose as a problem:

Problem. Let R be an affine ring, and suppose that $M \subset N$ are finitely generated R -modules. Is there an integer k_0 such that for all $k > k_0$ and all maximal ideals m of R

$$M \cap m^k N = m^{k-k_0} (M \cap m^{k_0} N)?$$

Of course remark 2) shows that this could not be true for all rings over an algebraically closed field.

Proof of the Theorem. Let $M_i = P^i M$ for $0 \leq i \leq e$. Since $(M_i/M_{i+1})_P$ is an R_P/P_P -vectorspace, we can choose an element $f \in R - P$ such that each $(M_i/M_{i+1})_f$ is $(R/P)_f$ -free.

We now claim that for any $f \in R - P$, it suffices to prove the corresponding Theorem for the ring R_f , the set $\mathcal{N}_f = \{mR_f \mid m \in \mathcal{N}, f \notin m\}$ of maximal ideals of R_f and the finitely generated R_f -module M_f . For,

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m = \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m_f = \left(\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m \right)_f = P_f,$$

and M_f is P_f coprimary, so the hypothesis of the Theorem is satisfied, and, on the other hand $M \subset M_f$ and

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e M \subset \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e M_f,$$

so if the latter module is 0, the former is as well.

Thus we may assume that each M_i/M_{i+1} is R/P -free from the outset. Under this hypothesis we will show

$$m^e M \cap M_i \subset mM_i \tag{***}$$

for each M_i and each $m \in \mathcal{N}$.

Once this is established, the Theorem will follow at once, since if $x \in M_i \cap \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e M$, then by (***) , $x \in \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} mM_i$, so $x + M_{i+1} \subset \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m(M_i/M_{i+1}) = 0$, so $x \in M_{i+1} \cap \bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{N}} m^e M$, and, continuing in this way, $x = 0$.

It remains to prove (***) . Because of the behavior of sets of associated primes with respect to exact sequences,

$$\text{Ass}(M/mM_i) \subset \text{Ass}(M/M_i) \cup \text{Ass}(M_i/mM_i) = \{P, m\},$$

So it suffices to prove (***) after localizing at m .

We will now change notation, and write R, M, \dots for R_m, M_m, \dots . Since R/P is a regular local ring, m/P is generated by a regular sequence $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_d$. Lifting these elements to $x_1, \dots, x_d \in R$, we see that x_1, \dots, x_d is an M_i/M_{i+1} -regular sequence for each i . It follows at once that x_1, \dots, x_d is an M/M_i -regular sequence for each $i > 0$, and thus that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d)M \cap M_i = (x_1, \dots, x_d)M_i.$$

On the other hand, $m = P + (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
m^e M \cap M_i &= \left(\sum_{j+k=e} P^j(x_1, \dots, x_d)^k M \right) \cap M_i \\
&\subset (P^e M + (x_1, \dots, x_d)M) \cap M_i \\
&= (x_1, \dots, x_d)M \cap M_i \\
&= (x_1, \dots, x_d)M_i \\
&\subset mM_i,
\end{aligned}$$

as required for (**). This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. H. HIRONAKA, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic 0, *Ann. of Math.* **79** (1964), 205–326.
2. H. MATSUMURA, “Commutative Algebra,” Benjamin, New York, 1970.
3. M. NAGATA, “Local Rings,” Interscience, New York, 1962.
4. ZARISKI, A fundamental lemma from the theory of holomorphic functions on an algebraic variety, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. Ser. 4* **29** (1949), 187–198; reprinted in “Oscar Zariski, Collected Papers,” Vol. II, pp. 55–66, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1973.