

## NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Van C. Nguyen Email/Phone: van.nguyen3@gmail.com

Speaker's Name: Graham Leuschke

Talk Title: Non-commutative desingularizations and MCM modules I

Date: 01/31/13 Time: 11:00 (am) / pm (circle one)

List 6-12 key words for the talk: maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, noncomm desingularizations, crepant resolution, Nakamura's G-Hilbert scheme.

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: Describe recent work on noncomm. resolutions of singularities with a focus on the role of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules (MCM). In particular, Auslander's algebraic McKay correspondence between MCM modules, skew group rings of the binary polyhedral groups were discussed, leading to Van den Bergh's definition of a noncomm. crepant resolution.

## CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not** pay for incomplete forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor.
  - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
  - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
  - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
  - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.  
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to [notes@msri.org](mailto:notes@msri.org) with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

01/31/13

11:00 am

Graham Leuschke:

"Non-commutative desingularizations  
and MCM modules I"

Goal: Illustrate the idea [and the (potential) definitions] of noncommutative desingularizations with concrete examples, concentrating on the role of MCM modules.

MCM McKay Correspondence:

Setup:  $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ ,  $\text{char. } k = 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$

$G \subseteq \text{GL}_n(k)$  acting on  $S$   
finite group

$R = S^G$ , invariant subring

Observations:

- +  $R$  is a complete Cohen Macaulay (CM) normal domain
- +  $S$  is maximal CM (MCM) as an  $R$ -module [ $\text{depth}_R S = n$  or  $S$  is f.g. free over a power series subring of  $R$ ].

My goal: Understand  $S$  as  $R$ -module, since it's a "God-given" MCM module.

To study  $S$ , we introduce the "twisted group ring"  $S \# G$  (semi-direct product, or smash product), it is:

+ free  $S$ -module on  $\{\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}$

+ twisted multiplication defined by:

$$(s\sigma)(t\tau) = s\sigma(t)\sigma\tau, \quad \forall s, t \in S, \sigma, \tau \in G$$

Observation: An  $S \# G$ -module is just an  $S$ -module with a compatible  $G$ -action.

Easy computation:  $\text{Hom}_{S\#G}(-, -) \cong \text{Hom}_S(-, -)^G$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{check } = 0} \text{Ext}_{S\#G}^i(-, -) \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(-, -)^G$$

Consequently,

$$\text{gl. dim } S\#G = n \quad (= \dim S)$$

- There is a natural map  $\gamma: S\#G \rightarrow \text{End}_R(S)$ , which is a ring homomorphism

$$s\sigma \mapsto s\sigma, \text{ as endomorphism}$$

$$s\sigma: t \mapsto s \cdot \sigma(t)$$

Theorem: [Auslander '62]: If  $G$  is small (that is, contains no elements fixing a hyperplane point-wise), then  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism. "pseudo-reflection"

- From now on, assume  $G$  is small.

Corollary:  $R$  has a module-finite algebra (ie. finitely generated as a module) namely  $S\#G \cong \text{End}_R(S)$ , which has finite global dimension and ... (other things)

Q: What should [...] mean if we want to call  $\text{End}_R(S)$  a non-comm. desingularization?

There's more, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\#G & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\gamma} & \text{End}_R(S) \\ \sigma^{-1}(s)\sigma^{-1} \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow \text{rest} \\ (S\#G)^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{right mult. by } \sigma^{-1}} & \text{End}_{S\#G}(S\#G) \end{array}$$

where "rest" means restriction to  $S \cong S \cdot \frac{1}{|G|} \sum \sigma \in S\#G$

Corollary: These are all isomorphisms, so [looking at idempotents on the right hand side (RHS)]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{S\#G direct} & \text{proj S\#G} = \text{add}_R(S) & \text{direct summands} \\
 \text{summands of S\#G} & \uparrow & \swarrow \\
 & P \longleftrightarrow P^G & \text{of direct sums of S as R-mod.} \\
 & & \text{(Recall: Our goal!)}
 \end{array}$$

Even more: The representation theory of  $G$  is also involved, via

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{rep}_k G & \longrightarrow & \text{proj S\#G} & \longrightarrow & \text{add}_R(S) \\
 W & \longmapsto & S \otimes_k W & \longmapsto & (S \otimes_k W)^G \\
 & & \text{G acting diag.} & & \text{covariants}
 \end{array}$$

The composition is not an equivalence of categories but is a bijection on objects.

- Specialize to  $n=2$ :

+ Herzog '78:  $\text{MCM}(R) = \text{add}_R(S)$   
(always  $\geq$ )

So in particular,  $R$  has finite CM type, that is, only finitely many indecomposable MCM modules /  $\cong$  (up to isom.)

And so, if  $n=2$ , there are finitely many indecomposable MCM (= reflexive) modules  $M_1, \dots, M_r$ , and the "Auslander algebra":

+  $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_r)$  has finite gl. dim and is MCM/R  
+ Finite CM Type (FCMT) fails if  $n \geq 3$ , (except  $k[[x,y,z]]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ ).

+ There are other examples of FCMT in  $\dim \geq 3$ , and for those the Auslander algebra still has finite global dim, but is not as nice as in  $\dim = 2$ , it's not MCM as an  $R$ -module.

- A little geometry: (Artin - Verdier)

Assume  $n=2$ , and set  $X = \text{Spec } R$ ,  $G \subseteq \text{GL}_2(k)$

Then  $X$  has a unique "minimal" resolution of singularities  
 $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  with exceptional fiber a bunch of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ 's.

There is a 1-1 correspondence between indecomposable MCM  $R$ -mods  
and the components of the exceptional fiber.

— There is a derived version of things we've covered:

The minimal resolution of singularities is realized as  
Nakamura's  $G$ -Hilbert scheme:

$$H := \text{Hilb}_G(\mathbb{C}^2) \\
= \{ \mathcal{I} \mid \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/\mathcal{I} \text{ is isom. to } \mathbb{C}G \text{ as } G\text{-reps.} \} \subseteq S$$

Theorem: [Kapranov - Vasserot '00] Let  $G \in SL_2$ ,  $\exists$  equiv.

$$D^b(\text{coh } H) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\text{coh}_G \mathbb{C}^2) = \begin{array}{l} G\text{-equivariant} \\ \text{coherent sheaves} \end{array} \\
\downarrow \cong \text{ always} \\
D^b(\text{mod } S \# G)$$

So  $S \# G$  doesn't just know about the resolution of singularities,  
it is the resolution.

Q: Does Kapranov - Vasserot extend to  $\dim \geq 3$ ?

In  $\dim \geq 3$ ,  $H = \text{Hilb}_G(\mathbb{C}^n)$  makes sense, but

- + If  $n \geq 3$ , it's not a minimal resolution of singularities but is crepant.
- + If  $n \geq 4$ , it's not even smooth.

Theorem: [Bridgeland - King - Reid '01],  $G \in SL_3(k)$ , then  $\exists$  equiv.

$$D^b(\text{coh } H) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\text{coh}_G \mathbb{C}^3) \xrightarrow[\text{always}]{\cong} D^b(\text{mod } S \# G) = D^b(\text{mod } \text{End}_R(S))$$

So even though  $S$  no longer contains all MCM's,  $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(S)$  still "is" the resolution.

Conjecture: [BKR, Douglas, Nakamura]:  $G \subseteq \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

If  $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/G$  is a crepant resolution of singularities, then  $D^b(\text{coh } Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\text{coh}_G(\mathbb{C}^n)) \cong D^b(\text{mod } S \# G)$

In particular, this implies

$D^b(\text{coh } Y)$  is independent of  $Y$ .

Take all of these as motivation for:

Defn: [Van den Bergh]

Let  $R$  be a Gorenstein normal domain. A non-commutative crepant resolution of  $R$  (NCCR) is an  $R$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  which:

+ is of finite global dim.

+  $\Lambda \cong \text{End}_R(M)$ , where  ${}_R M$  is reflexive [MCM?]

+  $\Lambda$  is MCM as an  $R$ -module

Remark: There is no general relationship between  $M$  being MCM and  $\text{End}_R(M)$  being MCM.

One useful criterion:  $d = \dim R$ ,  $M$  is MCM over CM local

and  $\text{Ext}_R^{1,2,\dots,d-2}(M,M) = 0 \implies \text{End}_R(M)$  is MCM.

( $\Leftarrow$  if  $R$  is an isolated sing.)

- First theorems and conjectures:

Thm (Van den Bergh) Let  $R$  be Gorenstein normal,  $X = \text{Spec } R$ ,  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$

a resolution of sings. Assume  $\pi$  is crepant, the fibers of  $\pi$  have  $\dim \leq 1$  and exceptional locus has  $\dim \geq 2$ . Then:

$R$  has a NCCR,  $\Lambda = \text{End}_R(M)$  with  $M$  is MCM, and  $D^b(\text{coh } Y) \cong D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda)$ .

If in addition,  $\dim R = 3$ , then all the crepant resolutions (NCCR's and usual ones) are derived equivalent.