

CO-SEGAL ALGEBRAS AND DELIGNES CONJECTURE

HUGO BACARD

This was a chalk talk. The speaker decided not to share his hand-written lecture notes.

This is following earlier work of Kock-Toën.

1. BACKGROUND

In the classical setting you fix a commutative ring K . For simplicity let's think of it as a field. Let A be a K -algebra with multiplication $\mu : A \otimes_K A \rightarrow A$.

Define the Hochschild complex with coefficients in A $C^0(A, A) \rightarrow C^1(A, A) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^h(A, A)$ where each $C^n(A, A) = \text{Hom}_K(A^{\otimes n}, A)$. This is the n^{th} space of the endomorphism operad End_A , so it's containing the information of the algebraic structure of A .

Define the Hochschild homology $HH(A)$ to be the cohomology of this complex. This depends on μ .

Remark: there is a complex BA called the bar complex of A

$$[\dots \rightarrow A^{\otimes n} = A \otimes_K A \otimes_K \dots \otimes_K A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A] \xrightarrow{\mu} A$$

So $BA_i = A \otimes A^{\otimes i} \otimes A = F(A^{\otimes i})$ where $F : K\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Bimod}_A$ is left adjoint to the forgetful functor U . This adjunction gives $\text{Hom}_{K\text{-mod}}(N, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{BiMod}_A}(F(N), A)$.

Taking $N = A^{\otimes i}$ yields $\text{Hom}_K(A^{\otimes i}, A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{BiMod}_A}(F(A^{\otimes i}), A)$. In this light, the Hochschild complex is a Hom complex $\text{Hom}(BA, A)$ between two chain complexes of A -bimodules where we view A concentrated in degree 0.

If A is free or projective over K then BA is a resolution of A by bimodules, so $HH(A) = \underline{RHom}(A, A) = \text{Ext}(A, A)$.

2. DELIGNE'S CONJECTURE

Deligne was hoping $HH(A)$ was something like an algebra over the 2-disk operad, i.e. if you draw a disk with two disks inside then this acts by taking $HH(A) \times HH(A) \rightarrow HH(A)$, where each of the two disks corresponds to a different multiplicative structure on the corresponding $HH(A)$. So we need to make sense of these different algebra structures on $HH(A)$.

Theorem 2.1 (Kock-Toën). *Suppose A is a simplicial algebra. Then the simplicial derived Hom space $R\text{End}(A)$ is a simplicial 2-monoid.*

A simplicial 2-monoid has two compatible algebraic structures.

The non-derived version of the theorem looks at a monoidal category $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, I)$ and outputs $(\text{BiMod}_A, \otimes_A, A)$. Under this assignment the right derived functor of $\text{Hom}(I, I)$ is taken to $HH(A)$.

$\text{Hom}(I, I)$ has two multiplicative structures:

- (1) Given by composition.
- (2) Given by $\text{Hom}(I, I) \otimes \text{Hom}(I, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I^2, I^2) \cong \text{Hom}(I^2, I^2)$

A classical result of Eckmann-Hilton says that when you have two multiplications which are compatible then they provide a commutative structure on $\text{Hom}(I, I)$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a symmetric monoidal model category (more generally, a monoidal model category where $\text{Hom}_\ell \simeq \text{Hom}_r$ in the notation of Hovey's book). Then one can compute $R\text{End}(I) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(QI, RI)$ where QI is the cofibrant replacement of I and RI is the fibrant replacement of I .

We think of $R\text{End}(I)$ as the Hochschild cohomology. These model category theoretic considerations provide $R\text{End}(I) \simeq \underline{\text{Hom}}(E, E)$ and this picks out the canonical multiplication $\text{Hom}(E, E) \otimes \text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E)$.

Now consider the multiplicative structure where you take two endomorphisms f and g to $f \otimes g$. In order for this to give a multiplication, we need a way to get from $\text{Hom}(E^2, E^2)$ to $\text{Hom}(E, E)$. The way to do this is via a zig-zag $\text{Hom}(E^2, E^2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E^2, E) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{Hom}(E, E)$. So the multiplication is given by

$$\text{Hom}(E, E) \otimes \text{Hom}(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E^2, E) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \text{Hom}(E, E)$$

i.e. $X(1) \otimes X(1) \rightarrow X(2) \leftarrow X(1)$

This is precisely the data of a coSegal algebra.

3. CO-SEGAL ALGEBRAS

Let \mathcal{M} be a monoidal category with a subcategory \mathcal{W} of weak equivalences. A *co-Segal algebra* X is a lax-monoidal functor $X : (\Delta_{\text{epi}}^+, +, 0)^{op} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \otimes, I)$ such that the underlying functor $X : (\Delta_{\text{epi}}^+)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ factors through the subcategory of weak equivalences. This condition is the *co-Segal condition*.

Pictorially, we are requiring the following to be a homotopically constant diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & X(1) \\
& & \downarrow \\
X(1) \otimes X(1) & \longrightarrow & X(2) \\
\downarrow s \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
X(2) \otimes X(1) & \longrightarrow & X(3)
\end{array}$$

A Segal algebra is the data $X(1) \otimes X(1) \xleftarrow{\simeq} X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$.

Co-Segal algebras are very useful. They are in the background any time you have $S \otimes S \rightarrow S$ and $f : R \simeq S$. In particular, you have $R \otimes R \rightarrow S \otimes S \rightarrow S \leftarrow R$.

You also see co-Segal algebras in loop spaces, and it shows you $\Omega_*(X)$ is a co-Segal algebra with one object.

Let B be a dga. If the cohomology $H^*(B)$ is free then any cycle choosing map is a quasi-isomorphism $H^*(B) \rightarrow B$, and this makes the data $(B, H^*(B))$ into a co-Segal algebra.

Co-Segal algebra structure helps with the following problem. Given an operad \mathcal{O} , when can you lift \mathcal{O} -algebra structure to some B sitting over A , i.e. when does $\mathcal{O}(n) \otimes A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ lift along a map $B \rightarrow A$. This works if you take a cofibrant replacement \mathcal{O}_∞ of \mathcal{O} .

4. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a symmetric monoidal model category. If $(\mathcal{V}, \otimes, U)$ is a symmetric monoidal, combinatorial model category satisfying the monoid axiom then there is a nice model structure on co-Segal algebras.*

This is constructed as a left Bousfield localization of the projective model structure on the diagram category (the one that appears in the definition of co-Segal algebra), where you precisely force the fibrant objects to be those satisfying the co-Segal condition. Define a *coSegal 2-algebra* to be a monoid in the category of co-Segal algebras.

Back to Deligne's Conjecture. We wanted to find a resolution $BA \xrightarrow{\simeq} A$ with some map $BA \otimes BA \xrightarrow{\simeq} BA$. Since $BA \xrightarrow{\simeq} A$ is a projective resolution, $BA \otimes BA \xrightarrow{q \otimes Id} BA \otimes A \simeq BA$ is a weak equivalence in $(chBimod_A, \otimes_A, A)$. Indeed, this classical result from homological algebra was perhaps the motivation for Hovey's definition of monoidal model category and his use of the condition that 'cofibrant objects are flat.'

Theorem 4.2. *If K is a field and A is a K -algebra then there are two coSegal algebra structures on $HH^1(A)$.*

We may now state the main result, which relates this work to Deligne's Conjecture:

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a monoidal model category satisfying the monoid axiom. Then $R\text{End}(I)$ is a coSegal 2-algebra.*

There is also a version of this theorem for \mathcal{M} an abelian category with enough projectives and injectives.

Kock and Toën approach Deligne's Conjecture by an adjunction between E_∞ -algebras and Δ^n -algebras. So one area for future work is to lift their approach on derived mapping spaces to internal hom spaces, i.e. to get a similar result with $R\text{End}(E)$.