

# MARTIN BOUNDARY AND LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM OF BROWNIAN MOTION ON NEGATIVELY CURVED MANIFOLDS

SEONHEE LIM

Joint work with F Ledrappier

## 1. THE HEAT KERNEL

Consider  $X$ , a Riemannian manifold, open, connected and complete.

**Definition 1.** We call the function  $p(t, x, y)$  the *heat kernel* if it satisfies the following properties:

- it is the fundamental solution to the heat equation, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(t, x, y) = \Delta_x p(t, x, y)$$

- and, given an initial condition,

$$u(x, t) = \int p(t, x, y) u(y) dy \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} u(x)$$

Let  $-\lambda_0$  be the maximal eigenvalue in  $L^2(X)$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is the bottom of  $\text{Sp}(-\Delta)$ . Assume for the moment that we have discrete spectrum, that is we can write  $p(t, x, y) = \sum e^{-\lambda_i t} \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y)$ , where  $\phi_i$  are the  $-\lambda_i$  eigenfunctions of the Laplacian. In this case, we do not have discrete spectrum, but we are still able to view, by the spectral theorem,

$$-\lambda_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log p(t, x, y)$$

which says that  $-\lambda_0$  is the exponential growth rate of the heat kernel.

**Theorem 1** (Ledrappier-Lim). *If  $X = \widetilde{M}$ ,  $M$  a compact manifold  $\text{CAT}(-1)$ , then as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

$$p(t, x, y) \sim e^{-\lambda_0 t} t^{-3/2} C(x, y)$$

where  $C(x, y) > 0$ . More precisely,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\lambda_0 t} t^{3/2} p(t, x, y) = C(x, y)$$

**Examples.**

(1)  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$p(t, x, y) = c t^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{4t}} \sim t^{-d/2}$$

(2)  $\mathbb{H}^3$ :

$$p(t, x, y) \sim e^{-t} t^{-3/2} \frac{d(x, y)}{\sinh d(x, y)}$$

(3) (Bougerol, 81)  $G/K$  a symmetric space:

$$p(t, x, y) \sim e^{-\lambda_0 t} t^{-\frac{\text{rk}G + \#\text{roots}}{2}} \Phi(x, y)$$

where  $\Phi(x, y)$  is the Harish-Chandra function ( $K_x$ -invariant).

We have more information than just Theorem 1,

**Theorem 2** (Anker-Bougerol-Jeulin, 2002). *If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(t, x, y)}{p(t, x, x)}$  exists, then  $C(x, y)$  is a  $(-\lambda_0)$ -eigenfunction.*

**Conjecture 1** (Davies, '97).  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(t, x, y)}{p(t, x, x)}$  always exists.

**Corollary 1** (Ledrappier-Lim). *Davies' conjecture holds for  $\widetilde{M}$ .*

*Proof of asymptotic in Thm 1.* It is enough to show

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} t e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) dt \sim s^{-1/2} C(x, y),$$

since by using the Tauberian theorem the statement is equivalent to showing

$$\int_0^T t^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) dt \sim T^{-1/2} C(x, y).$$

It is an exercise to prove that the statement we want,  $e^{-\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) \sim t^{-3/2} C(x, y)$  then follows. Define the  $\lambda$ -Green function,  $G_\lambda(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} p(t, x, y) dt$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} t e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) dt = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) \right|_{\lambda = \lambda_0 - s}.$$

We want to understand the behavior of this derivative. □

## 2. COUNTING GEODESICS

[Margulis, Ledrappier, Hamenstadt, Roblin, Parry-Pollicot, Paulin-Pollicot-Schapira]

Let  $M$  be a compact, negatively curved manifold. Fix two points  $x, y$ , we would like to count

$$\#\{\text{geodesics } \widehat{xy} \text{ of length } \in [t, t + \delta]\} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ t \leq d(x, y) \leq t + \delta}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{A \subset T_x^1 M \\ B \subset T_y^1 M}} \# \left( B \cap \bigcup_{t \leq s \leq t + \delta} g_s A \right)$$

Thicken  $A, B$  to  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$  so that  $\#(B \cap \bigcup_{t \leq s \leq t + \delta} g_s A) = \#(\tilde{B} \cap g_t \tilde{A})$ . Then

$$\mu(\tilde{B} \cap g_t \tilde{A}) = u_B e^{-ht} s_A \delta_A \#(\tilde{B} \cap g_t \tilde{A})$$

Since geodesic flow is mixing with respect to  $\mu$ ,

$$\mu(\tilde{B} \cap g_t \tilde{A}) \rightarrow \mu(\tilde{B}) \mu(\tilde{A}) = u_B u_A s_B s_A \delta_B \delta_A.$$

Thus  $\#(\tilde{B} \cap g_t \tilde{A}) \rightarrow e^{ht} \delta u_A s_B$  and so

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ t \leq d(x, y) \leq t + \delta}} 1 \rightarrow \delta e^{ht} \|\mu_x\| \|\mu_y\|$$

**Remark 1.**  $\mu = m^{\text{BMS}}$  and attains  $\sup_{\mu, g^t\text{-inv}} \{h_\mu\}$

**2.1. Counting geodesics with weights.** Let  $F: T^1 M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , a Holder continuous function, be the *potential*. Our sum is now  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{\int_x^y F}$ . We divide into pieces so that the weight,  $e^{\int_x^y F}$  is almost constant on each  $A$  and  $B$ . Then thicken to  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$  and we get the same asymptotic, with different rate of growth,  $ht$  becomes  $P(F)t$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $F \neq 0$ ,  $\mu = \mu_F$  attains  $\sup_{\mu, g^t\text{-inv}} \left\{ h_\mu + \int F d\mu \right\}$

## 3. UNDERSTANDING THE $\lambda$ -GREEN FUNCTION

For each  $\lambda < \lambda_0$ , choose  $F_\lambda$  so that

$$e^{\int_x^y F_\lambda} = k^2 \lambda(x, y, \xi) = \left( \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} \frac{G_\lambda(y, z)}{G_\lambda(x, z)} \right)^2,$$

the fact that this limit exists is due to Ancona.

**Proposition 1** (Ledrappier-Lim).  $g_t$  is rapid mixing with respect to  $\mu_\lambda$  uniformly in  $\lambda$ .

*Idea of proof.* We know that  $g_t$  is exponentially mixing with respect to Liouville measure, by work of Liverani. This would imply that  $g_t$  is topological power mixing, that is there exists  $t_0, \alpha > 0$  such that for all  $t > t_0, \frac{1}{r^\alpha}, (g_t(B(x, r)) \cap B(y, r) \neq \emptyset$ . We need to prove that a uniform version of Dolgopyat's rapid mixing holds with respect to  $\mu_\lambda$ , that is there exists  $c_0, c_1$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$\left| \int f h g_t \mu_\lambda - \int f h \right| \leq c_1 \|f\|_\alpha \|h\| \alpha (1+t)^{-c_0}$$

□

We want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) &= \int_{\widetilde{M}} G_\lambda(x, z) G_\lambda(z, y) dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{RP(\lambda)} \int_{S(x, R)} \frac{G_\lambda(z, y)}{G_\lambda(z, x)} e^{-RP(\lambda)} G_\lambda^2(x, z) dz dR \end{aligned}$$

Recall that as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ ,

$$\frac{G_\lambda(z, y)}{G_\lambda(z, x)} \rightarrow k_\lambda(x, y, \xi).$$

so,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{RP(\lambda)} \int_{S(x, R)} \frac{G_\lambda(z, y)}{G_\lambda(z, x)} e^{-RP(\lambda)} G_\lambda^2(x, z) dz dR = \int_0^\infty e^{RP(\lambda)} \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_\lambda(x, y, \xi) d\mu_x^{\lambda_0}(\xi)$$

**Theorem 3** (Ledrappier-Lim).  $C(x, y) = \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_x^{\lambda_0}(\xi)$

**Remark 3.**  $\{\mu_x^{\lambda_0}\}$  minimizes the Mohsen-Rayleigh quotient.