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Video Clubs

- Groups of teachers watch and discuss video excerpts from their classrooms
- Can video clubs help teachers to develop the ability to notice and make sense of classroom interactions?

Mathematics Teacher Noticing

- Noticing is a key component of teaching expertise.
- Teacher noticing involves identifying and interpreting significant classroom interactions.
- Video clubs have the potential to promote changes in teacher noticing in ways that influence teacher practice.

Designing Video Clubs

- What kinds of video are useful for teachers to discuss?
- How should we facilitate discussion of videos with teachers?
- How might we help teachers collect video from their own classrooms?
What makes a video clip “interesting?”

- View two short (3 minutes) clips from same classroom
- Would these clips be productive to use in a video club?
- What features of video clips are important to consider?
- Consider a video club with the goal of learning to notice student mathematical thinking.

Situating the Video

Umberto’s mother expects an increase of 5% in her current annual salary of $36,000. What would her new annual salary be?

A. $36,005
B. $36,180
C. $37,800
D. $41,000
E. $54,000

Selecting Video Clips

- Would this video be productive to use in a video club?
- What features of the clip might afford a productive discussion?
- What features of the clip might limit a productive discussion?

Consider a video club with the goal of learning to notice student mathematical thinking.

Three dimensions of classroom video clips of student thinking about mathematics:

- **WINDOWS** into Student Thinking: Is there evidence of student thinking in the video clip?
- **DEPTH** of Student Thinking: Are students exploring substantive mathematical ideas?
- **CLARITY** of Student Thinking: How easy is it to understand the student thinking shown in the video?
Characterizing Video Clips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WINDOWS into Student Thinking</td>
<td>Little evidence of student thinking from any source</td>
<td>One or more sources of information exist, but little detail provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPTH of Student Thinking</td>
<td>Task is routine for student, calls for memorization or recall only on part of student</td>
<td>Some sense-making applied to routine task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARITY of Student Thinking</td>
<td>Student thinking not transparent</td>
<td>Much of student thinking transparent, though some ideas may be unclear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Design

Mapleton Video Club
- 7 elementary school teachers
- Met 1-2 times per month
- Viewed total of 26 video clips

Analysis Part 1
- Video clips coded along 3 dimensions
  - WINDOWS
  - DEPTH
  - CLARITY
  - Low: 10, 8, 14
  - Medium: 3, 4, 0
  - High: 13, 14, 12

Analysis Part 2
- Rate discussions in video club as more or less productive along specified criteria:
  - Sustained focus on student thinking
  - Substantive mathematical focus
  - Joint sense-making among participants

Analysis Part 3
- Examine relationship between coding of video clips and coding of corresponding video club discussions

High Depth...

Depth must be sustained for productive discussion to take place
- Short bursts of students talking at high depth level not always pursued by teachers.
- This is particularly important in initial meetings.

Student: Five percent ain't $5.
Student 1: Her salary already is $36,000 and cause she trying to increase it she want more and $36,005 that's just like 5 more dollars and
Student 2: Five percent ain't $5. You trying to trick us.
Under the right conditions, *Low Depth* can lead to productive discussions

- This may involve teachers going more in depth into the mathematics than students had done in the video.

**Video Club Excerpt**

Wanda: [I noticed] the way he was counting on the card in the later one... He was counting the groups... I think it was three times six.

Daniel: So what was he doing?

Frances: He was counting one, two, three, four, five, six. And then he was keeping track, so he knew he counted six one time, six the second time, six the third time.

Daniel: Well, then he knows what the meaning of multiplication is.

Wanda: Which is... exactly. I mean, that’s the base.

**Clarity...**

Both high and low clarity clips can lead to productive discussions

- “What?” clips: What is the student saying?

- “Wow!” clips: That's such an interesting method!

---

**Characterizing Video Clips**

- **Low Depth of Student Thinking**: Task is routine, but little evidence of student thinking from any source.
- **Medium Depth of Student Thinking**: Some sense-making applied to routine task.
- **High Depth of Student Thinking**: Student engages in math sense-making, works at conceptual level.

- **Low Clarity of Student Thinking**: Much of student thinking transparent, though some ideas may be unclear.
- **Medium Clarity of Student Thinking**: Detailed information from one or more sources, but little detail provided.
- **High Clarity of Student Thinking**: Detailed information from one or more sources.

---

**Designing Video Clubs**

- What kinds of video are useful for teachers to discuss?
- How should we facilitate discussion of videos with teachers?
- How might we help teachers collect video from their own classrooms?
Facilitating Video Clubs

Returning to Algebra video:

- Select 2-3 issues that you think would be productive to explore in a video club.
- What do you need to consider to engage participants in a productive discussion?
- What kinds of questions might you want to ask participants?

Consider a video club with the goal of learning to notice student mathematical thinking.

Research Design

Examine facilitation across two video clubs

Emergent Video Club
- Focused on learning to notice student thinking in participants’ own classrooms

Highly Specified Video Club
- Published video club curriculum intended to develop knowledge of students’ mathematical thinking

Analysis

- Identify facilitation moves
- Compare facilitation moves across more and less productive discussions
- Compare facilitation moves across video club contexts
- Identified 14 key facilitation moves

Framework for Facilitating Video-Based Discussion

- Orienting to Video Analysis
- Sustaining an Inquiry Stance
- Maintaining a Focus on Video and Math
- Supporting Group Collaboration

Facilitation Moves

- Challenge 1: Discussion off topic and outside of video.
- Facilitator Move: Redirect and Highlight
  - Turning attention back to video
    “Can we come back to the video here?”
  - Directing attention to noteworthy student ideas
    “What do you think about Ebony’s idea?”
Facilitation Moves

- **Challenge 2:** Participants talk in generalities.
  - **Facilitator Move:** Point to Evidence and Press
    - Focus attention to specific evidence or interactions
    "We saw Alicia estimating earlier. Is that the same thing she’s doing here?"
    - Prompt for further explanation
    "Can you say more about what’s different in Ebony’s approach?"

- **Challenge 3:** Participants not sure how to analyze what they see.
  - **Facilitator Move:** Offer an Explanation
    - Facilitator provides a substantive explanation for interactions in the video
    "I actually think Ebony understands a lot about how to calculate percents."

Designing Video Clubs

- What kinds of video are useful for teachers to discuss?
- How should we facilitate discussion of videos with teachers?
- How might we help teachers collect video from their own classrooms?